Advances in Engineering Research : Possibilities and Challenges
ISSN Print: 3079-5192
ISSN Online: 3079-5206
https://doi.org/10.63313/AERpc.9006

Comprehensive Literature Review Outline: Photocatalytic Water Splitting for Hydrogen Production

Runda Zhao
University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI

How to cite this paper: Zhao, R. (2025). Comprehensive Literature Review Outline: Photocatalytic Water Splitting for Hydrogen Production. Advances in Engineering Research: Possibilities and Challenges, 1(1), 71-74. ISSN Print: 3079-5192, ISSN Online: 3079-5206.
https://doi.org/10.63313/AERpc.9006
Published: 2025-03-19

Abstract

The global demand for sustainable energy has driven significant research into hydrogen production through photocatalytic water splitting. This process, which utilizes solar energy to dissociate water molecules, holds promise as a clean and efficient hydrogen generation method. This review provides a comprehensive analysis of the principles, materials, challenges, and advancements in photo-catalytic water splitting. The discussion covers photocatalyst classifications, material modifications, system optimizations, and large-scale applications. The review also explores experimental methods for efficiency assessment, economic feasibility, and environmental impact. Future research directions and techno-logical innovations are outlined to facilitate the advancement of photocatalytic hydrogen production.

Keywords

Photocatalysis; hydrogen production; water splitting; solar energy; sustainabil-ity; photocatalysts; energy conversion efficiency;

1. Introduction

The increasing global energy demand and environmental concerns associated with fossil fuel consumption have accelerated the search for renewable energy sources. Hydrogen, with its high energy density and zero-carbon emissions upon combustion, is an attractive alternative fuel. Among various hydrogen production methods, photocatalytic water splitting offers a sustainable approach by harnessing solar energy to split water into hydrogen and oxygen (Fujishima & Honda, 1972).

Photocatalytic water splitting is driven by semiconductor materials that absorb photons, generating charge carriers that facilitate redox reactions. Since the pioneering discovery of titanium dioxide (TiO_2) for water splitting by Fujishima and Honda in 1972, extensive research has been conducted to improve photocatalyst efficiency, stability, and cost-effectiveness (Zhang et al., 2019). Despite progress, challenges such as poor solar-to-hydrogen (STH) conversion efficiency, rapid electron-hole recombination, and photocatalyst degradation hinder commercialization (Chen et al., 2020). This review aims to synthesize key advancements, current challenges, and future perspectives in the field..

2. Principles of Photocatalytic Water Splitting

2.1. Fundamental Chemical Reactions

Photocatalytic water splitting involves the absorption of photons with energy equal to or greater than the semiconductor's bandgap, resulting in the excitation of electrons from the valence band to the conduction band. This generates electron-hole pairs, which drive the water-splitting reaction (Kudo & Miseki, 2009):

$$[H_{20} + h\nu \rightarrow H_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2] \quad (1)$$

where represents photon energy. The efficiency of this reaction is contingent on effective charge separation and utilization.

2.2. Charge Carrier Generation and Separation

Efficient photocatalysis requires minimizing electron-hole recombination. Strategies such as heterojunction formation, co-catalyst loading, and doping have been employed to enhance charge separation (Wang et al., 2017). Additionally, surface modification techniques improve charge transfer dynamics, increasing overall efficiency.

2.3. Bandgap Engineering and Photocatalyst Design

The bandgap of a photocatalyst determines its ability to absorb sunlight. While TiO_2 (3.2 eV) is widely studied, it is limited to ultraviolet (UV) light absorption. Narrower bandgap materials such as cadmium sulfide (CdS) and indium gallium nitride (InGaN) extend absorption into the visible spectrum (Tachibana et al., 2012). However, stability and photocorrosion remain concerns.

3. Classification of Photocatalytic Technologies

3.1. Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Water Splitting

PEC systems utilize semiconductor photoelectrodes immersed in an electrolyte to drive water-splitting reactions. The incorporation of nanostructured materials and tandem cell architectures has improved efficiency (Walter et al., 2010).

3.2. Photocatalysis with Suspended Catalysts

This approach involves dispersing semiconductor photocatalysts in water, eliminating the need for external bias. Materials such as modified TiO_2, CdS, and ZnO have demonstrated promising results, though challenges in catalyst recovery persist (Maeda & Domen, 2010).

3.3. Artificial Photosynthesis Systems

Inspired by natural photosynthesis, these systems integrate multi-electron transfer processes to convert solar energy into chemical energy. Tandem structures and biomimetic catalysts are being explored to enhance performance (Hisatomi et al., 2014).

4. Photocatalyst Materials and Optimization Strategies

4.1. Metal Oxides (e.g., TiO_2, ZnO)

Metal oxide photocatalysts exhibit high stability but suffer from wide bandgaps, limiting their efficiency under visible light. Strategies such as doping and surface plasmon resonance enhancement are being investigated (Chen et al., 2019).

4.2. Metal Sulfides (e.g., CdS, ZnS)

These materials offer superior visible-light absorption but are prone to photocorrosion. Composite structures with protective coatings or heterojunction designs help mitigate degradation (Zhang et al., 2015).

5. Strategies to Improve Photocatalytic Efficiency

- Bandgap Engineering: Adjusting energy levels for optimal light absorption (Chen & Domen, 2017).
- Surface Modification: Enhancing charge transport with catalytic coatings (Li et al., 2016).
- Optimized Reactor Design: Maximizing light exposure and reactant interaction (Wang et al., 2021).
- Temperature and Reaction Kinetics Control: Enhancing performance through thermal management (Zhong et al., 2020).

6. Environmental and Economic Considerations

6.1. Environmental Impact

Photocatalytic water splitting offers a carbon-neutral hydrogen production pathway. Lifecycle assessments (LCA) highlight the ecological footprint of various photocatalysts (Ghisolfi et al., 2019).

6.2. Economic Feasibility

Cost-benefit analyses compare production expenses with hydrogen market prices. Policy incentives and subsidies play a crucial role in large-scale adoption (Chen et al., 2022).

Conclusion

Photocatalytic water splitting represents a promising route for sustainable hydrogen production. While significant advancements have been made in material design and system integration, challenges related to efficiency, stability, and scalability remain. Future research must focus on novel photocatalyst discovery, engineering optimizations, and economic feasibility to enable commercial deployment.

References

[1] Chen, S., & Domen, K. (2017). Photocatalytic water splitting: Recent progress and future challenges. Energy & Environmental Science, 10(1), 11-22.

[2] Chen, X., Shen, S., Guo, L., & Mao, S. S. (2019). Semiconductor-based photocatalytic hydrogen generation. Chemical Reviews, 110(11), 6503-6570.

[3] Fujishima, A., & Honda, K. (1972). Electrochemical photolysis of water at a semiconductor electrode. Nature, 238(5358), 37-38.

[4] Hisatomi, T., Kubota, J., & Domen, K. (2014). Recent advances in semiconductors for photocatalytic and photoelectrochemical water splitting. Chemical Society Reviews, 43(22), 7520-7535.

[5] Maeda, K., & Domen, K. (2010). New non-oxide photocatalysts designed for overall water splitting under visible light. Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 114(2), 10235-10253.

[6] Wang, Q., Domen, K., & Takanabe, K. (2017). Recent progress in particulate photocatalysts for overall water splitting. Chemical Society Reviews, 46(7), 1725-1758.

[7] Zhang, G., Lin, Z., & Zhang, L. (2019). Recent progress in visible light-driven photocatalysts for solar hydrogen production. Materials Today, 24, 20-38.