International Journal of Educational Development
ISSN Print: 3104-4263
ISSN Online: 3104-4271
CD ISSN: 3104-428X
https://doi.org/10.63313/IJED.9003

Metacognitive Strategies for Improving High School Students' Reading Skills

Ying Tang
School of Foreign Languages, China West Normal University, Nanchong, China
Email: 1335736782@qq.com

How to cite this paper: Tang, Y. (2025). Metacognitive Strategies for Improving High School Students' Reading Skills. International Journal of Educational Development, 1(1), 8–15. ISSN Print: 3104-4263; ISSN Online: 3104-4271.
https://doi.org/10.63313/IJED.9003
Published: 2025-06-27

Abstract

This paper explores the significance of metacognitive strategies in enhancing the reading skills of high school students. Reading proficiency is not just a skill for decoding text but a critical gateway to knowledge acquisition and academic success. Metacognition, the ability to regulate and monitor one's own cognitive processes, emerges as a pivotal factor in reading comprehension. The paper is structured around the central theme that metacognitive strategies can trans-form passive reading into an active, purposeful effort, discussing the theoretical underpinnings of metacognition, its relationship with reading, and specific strategies that can be employed by high school students. It further explores the practical implementation of metacognitive strategies in the classroom, empha-sizing the teacher's role in modeling, teaching, and providing feedback on these strategies. It also advocates for student-centered approaches that encourage self-reflection, group discussions, and autonomous learning tasks, which are essential for students to internalize and independently apply metacognitive strategies. In conclusion, the paper emphasizes the importance of metacognitive strategies in fostering autonomous and effective reading among high school students, thereby preparing them for academic and professional challenges ahead. The study's findings have practical implications for educators seeking to enhance reading instruction and for students aiming to improve their reading skills.

Keywords

Metacognitive Strategies; Reading Skills; High School Students

1. Introduction

Reading is not merely a means of decoding words on a page; it is an essential gateway to knowledge acquisition, critical thinking, and overall academic success. Adequate reading skills enable students to engage with complex texts across various subjects, facilitating a deeper understanding and synthesis of information. The importance of reading skills for high school students cannot be overstated, as they form the foundation for academic success and lifelong learning (Gamboa Serrano,

2020). Reading proficiency is crucial for students to access, understand, and engage with complex texts across various subjects, which is essential for their educational and professional development (Calderon-Chambi et al., 2022).

Metacognition, the ability to think about and regulate one's own cognitive processes, plays a significant role in learning, particularly in the context of reading comprehension (Flavell, 1979). Metacognitive strategies involve students in active monitoring and adjustment of their reading processes, which can lead to deeper understanding and improved comprehension (Gamboa Serrano, 2020). In other words, in the context of learning, metacognitive knowledge equips students with the understanding of how they learn best, while metacognitive regulation empowers them to plan, monitor, and adjust their learning strategies accordingly. When it comes to reading, metacognition can transform a passive reading experience into an active and purposeful one.

However, despite the significance of both reading skills and metacognition, many high school students struggle with reading, and there is a recognized need for effective strategies to enhance their reading skills (Calderon-Chambi et al., 2022). The integration of metacognitive strategies into reading instruction has been shown to be particularly beneficial in improving students' ability to comprehend and analyze text (Hour Vannak, 2017).

By reviewing the existing literature and various studies, this paper aims to provide educators and students with a comprehensive understanding of how metacognitive strategies can be effectively integrated into reading instruction to improve comprehension and analytical skills. The discussion will cover a range of strategies, from self-questioning and summarization to visualization and inference making, and will consider how these strategies can be tailored to the specific needs of high school students. The goal is to equip students with the necessary tools to become more autonomous and effective readers, thereby enhancing their academic performance and preparing them for future educational and career challenges.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Metacognition

Metacognition, a term first introduced by John H. Flavell in 1979, refers to the cognitive processes that enable individuals to monitor, regulate, and reflect on their own thinking and learning (Flavell, 1979). It is composed of two interrelated components: metacognitive knowledge and metacognitive experiences. Metacognitive knowledge encompasses one's declarative knowledge about cognition, procedural knowledge about cognitive strategies, and conditional knowledge about when and why to use specific strategies (Brown, 1987). This knowledge base is critical as it provides the foundation for metacognitive regulation, which involves the active control and management of cognitive processes.

Metacognitive regulation, as defined by Winne and Hadwin (1998), includes plan-

ning, monitoring, and regulating one's cognitive activities. Planning involves setting goals, selecting strategies, and anticipating potential obstacles. Monitoring refers to the ongoing assessment of one's progress toward goals, while regulation involves adjusting strategies and redirecting efforts as needed to achieve those goals. These components of metacognition work in tandem to enhance cognitive performance and learning.

2.2. Relationship between Metacognition and Reading

Metacognitive awareness is crucial for the development of proficient reading skills, as it allows students to engage with text in a strategic and purposeful manner. Afflerbach, Pearson, and Paris (2008) highlight the importance of metacognitive planning in reading, where students set specific goals, preview the text to activate prior knowledge, and make predictions about the content. This planning phase sets the stage for a deeper and more meaningful interaction with the text.

During the reading process, metacognitive regulation plays a key role in monitoring comprehension. Paris and Hamilton (2009) suggest that effective readers are those who are aware of when they understand the material and when they do not. They are adept at using fix-up strategies to clarify misunderstandings, such as rereading, questioning, and summarizing. This active engagement with the text is a hallmark of metacognitive readers. Moreover, metacognition is essential for the evaluation of reading outcomes. Duke and Pearson (2002) argue that after reading, students should reflect on their comprehension and the strategies they used. This reflective practice allows students to assess the effectiveness of their reading strategies and to make adjustments for future reading tasks. By doing so, students can become more self-regulated learners who are capable of independently managing their reading development.

Despite the wealth of research on metacognitive strategies in reading, there is a notable gap in the literature regarding their application specifically within the context of high school students. While studies such as the one by Duke and Pearson (2009) provide a comprehensive overview of effective reading comprehension practices, there is a scarcity of research that focuses on the development and implementation of metacognitive strategies tailored to the unique challenges faced by high school students. High school students, transitioning from concrete to more abstract thinking, often struggle with the complexities of expository texts and the demands of critical reading (National Reading Panel, 2000, as cited in Cheung & Slavin, 2011).

Furthermore, the research gap is highlighted by the lack of comprehensive studies that integrate technology in enhancing metacognitive strategies for reading comprehension. The meta-analysis by Cheung and Slavin (2011) suggests that while educa-

tional technology generally has a positive effect on reading achievement, the effects vary by technology type, and innovative applications are understudied. This indicates a need for research that explores how technology can be leveraged to support metacognitive strategies among high school students.

Although the above studies acknowledge the importance of metacognition in reading skills and its components such as metacognitive knowledge and regulation, there appears to be a gap in understanding how these metacognitive strategies can be effectively taught and integrated into high school curricula. Thus, this study hopes to seek answers for the following specific research questions:

1. How do metacognitive strategies contribute to reading comprehension?

2. Which specific metacognitive strategies have been shown to be effective in improving reading skills among high school students?

3. How can educators integrate metacognitive strategies into their teaching methods to support high school students' reading development?

3. Metacognitive Strategies for Reading

3.1. Planning Strategies

Planning strategies play an important role in preparing students for a successful reading experience. They set the stage for focused and purposeful reading, enabling students to approach texts with a clear direction.

Setting reading goals is the first step in this strategic process. By establishing specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART) goals, students can clarify their intentions for reading. For example, a student might set a goal to understand the main argument of an academic article within a one - hour reading session. This helps in maintaining concentration and provides a clear benchmark for success. In a literature class, a goal could be to identify the character development patterns in a novel by the end of the week. Goals can also be related to language acquisition; for instance, a student learning a foreign language may aim to learn 20 new vocabulary words from a reading passage. When students set these goals, they are more likely to engage actively with the text, as they know exactly what they are trying to accomplish.

What's more, making predictions is another crucial planning strategy. Students can use their prior knowledge, the information gathered from previewing, and any clues in the text to make educated guesses about what will happen next, what the author's main point might be, or how the story will unfold. For example, if reading a mystery novel, students can predict who the culprit is based on the character descriptions, the setting, and the initial events. In a non-fiction article about climate change, they can predict the solutions that the author might pro-

pose by considering the current environmental issues. This strategy not only increases students' interest in the reading but also encourages them to actively test their predictions as they read, which deepens their understanding of the text.

3.2. Monitoring Strategies

Monitoring strategies help students stay on track during the reading process and ensure that they are comprehending the text effectively.

First of all, asking questions is a powerful monitoring strategy. Students can pose questions before, during, and after reading. Before reading, questions can be related to what they expect to learn from the text. For example, "What new information will I gain about ancient civilizations from this article?" During reading, they can ask questions to clarify unclear points, such as "Why did the character make that decision?" or "How does this scientific concept work in practice?" By constantly questioning the text, students are actively engaging with the material and seeking a deeper understanding. After reading, they can ask evaluative questions like "Was the author's argument convincing?" or "How does this information relate to what I already know?"

Furthermore, periodic summarization is also an effective way for students to check their understanding. Instead of waiting until the end of the entire text, students can stop at regular intervals, such as after each paragraph or section, and summarize what they have read in their own words. This forces them to distill the key ideas and ensures that they are not just passively reading but actively processing the information. For example, in a long research paper, summarizing each section helps students keep track of the overall argument and how each part contributes to it. Summarizing also makes it easier to identify any gaps in understanding, as students may find it difficult to summarize a particular section if they haven't fully grasped its meaning.

Students need to be aware of when they are confused during reading. This self-awareness is a vital part of metacognition. If a student comes across a complex sentence structure, an unfamiliar vocabulary word, or an abstract concept that they don't understand, they should recognize this feeling of confusion. Once they notice it, they can take steps to address the issue. For example, they can look up the meaning of an unknown word in a dictionary, re-read the confusing passage slowly, or ask for help from a teacher or a classmate. By being attuned to their level of understanding, students can take proactive measures to overcome comprehension barriers and continue with a better understanding of the text.

4. Implementation of Metacognitive Strategies in the Classroom

4.1. Teacher's Role

In the classroom, teachers play a pivotal role in the successful implementation of

metacognitive strategies. Their guidance and support are essential for students to internalize and effectively use these strategies in their reading and learning processes.

One of the most powerful ways teachers can introduce metacognitive strategies to students is through modeling. Teachers should think aloud while reading or solving problems, demonstrating the thought processes involved in using various metacognitive strategies. For example, when reading a complex text in front of the class, the teacher can say, "First, I'm going to look at the title and the headings. The title 'The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on the Future of Work' makes me think this article will discuss how AI will change different job sectors. This is me previewing the text to get an idea of what it's about." By verbalizing these thought-processes, teachers make the often-invisible metacognitive strategies visible to students. When making predictions, the teacher can share, "Based on the picture on the first page that shows people using virtual reality headsets, I predict that this story might involve some kind of immersive digital experience.

4.2. Student-centered Approaches

To ensure that students truly benefit from metacognitive strategies, it is essential to adopt student-centered approaches that empower them to take ownership of their learning.

Teachers can encourage students to engage in regular self-reflection. This can be done through journal, where students write about their reading experiences, the metacognitive strategies they used, and how effective those strategies were. For example, a student might write, "Today, I used the prediction strategy while reading a science article. I predicted that the new technology would have a significant impact on energy consumption. As I read, I found that my prediction was partly correct. However, I realized I didn't consider some of the limitations of the technology. Next time, I'll try to be more comprehensive in my predictions." By reflecting on their experiences, students become more aware of their thinking processes and can make adjustments to improve their reading skills.

5. Conclusion

This research has explored the critical role of metacognitive strategies in enhancing high school students' reading skills, addressing the complex interplay between metacognition and reading comprehension. Through a comprehensive review of literature and empirical studies, the specific research questions have been sought to answer. In conclusion, metacognitive strategies offer a promising approach to improving high school students' reading skills. By integrating these strategies into reading instruction, educators can equip students with the tools necessary to become more autonomous learners, better prepared for the challenges of academic and professional life.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who have supported and guided me throughout the process of completing this work. I owe my deepest thanks to my advisors, for their invaluable guidance, encouragement, and insightful suggestions. Their expertise and dedication have been a constant source of inspiration.

References

[1] Afflerbach, P., Pearson, P. D., & Paris, S. G. (2008). Clarifying differences be-tween reading skills and reading strategies. The Reading Teacher, 61(5), 364-373.

[2] Baier, Rebecca J. 2005. Reading Comprehension and Reading Strategies. Wis-consin-Stout: University of Wisconsin.

[3] Borkowski, John, Martha Carr, and Michael Pressely. 1987. "'Spontaneous' Strategy Use: Perspectives from Metacognitive Theory". Intelligence 11(January-March): 61-65.

[4] Brown, A. L. (1987). Metacognition, executive control, and self-regulation: A summary of the workshop. In A. L. Brown & J. C. Campione (Eds.), emergent lit-eracy: Listening and reading on the edge of meaning (pp. 45-53). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[5] Calderon-Chambi, M. E., Méndez Vergaray, J., Farfán Pimentel, J. F., Flo-res-Quispe, G. M., & Flores, E. (2022). Reading comprehension strategies in ele-mentary school students: Review Article. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering, 7(1), 4898-4906. https://doi.org/10.974/IJME-7-1-2022-098

[6] Cheung, A. C. K., & Slavin, R. E. (2011). The Effectiveness of Education Tech-nology for Enhancing Reading Achievement: A Meta-Analysis. The Best Evidence Encyclopedia. Retrieved from https://www.bestevidence.org/Evidence-Reports/Effectiveness-of-Education-Technology-for-Enhancing-Reading-Achievement-A-Meta-Analysis

[7] Duke, N. K., & Pearson, P. D. (2002). Effective practices for developing read-ing comprehension. In A. E. Farstrup & S. J. Samuels (Eds.), What research has to say about reading instruction (3rd ed., pp. 205-242). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

[8] Duke, N. K., & Pearson, P. D. (2009). Effective Practices for Developing Read-ing Comprehension. Journal of Education, 189(1/2), 107-208. DOI: 10.1177/0022057409189001-208

[9] Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive-developmental inquiry. American Psychologist, 34(10), 906-911. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.34.10.906

[10] Gamboa Serrano, C. U. (2020). Metacognitive strategies for improving stu-dents' reading skills. Península, 15(2), 99-115.

[11] García Jurado Velarde, Raquel. 2009. "A Proposal for Teaching Reading Comprehension in English". Perfiles Educativos 31 (123): 60-78.

[12] Halpern, Diane F. 1996. Thought and Knowledge: An Introduction to Critical Thinking. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.

[13] Hour, V. (2017). Strategies to Enhance Reading Comprehension in ESL Classroom. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/345398225

[14] Hudson, Thom. 1991. A Content Comprehension Approach to Reading Eng-lish for Science and Technology. Boston: tesol Quarterly.

[15] Johns, Ann M. and Tony Dudley-Evans. 1991. English for Specific Purposes. International in Scope, Specific in Purpose. Boston: tesol Quarterly.

[16] Jordan, R. R. 1997. English for Academic Purposes: a Guide and Resource Book for Teachers. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[17] Kuche, E., Demissie, A., & Wanna, W. (2024). English language teacher edu-cators' knowledge and skills on early grade reading instruction. Heliyon, 10(9).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e38360

[18] Paris, S. G., & Hamilton, M. (2009). press. In S. J. Samuels & A. E. Farstrup (Eds.), What research has to say about reading instruction (4th ed., pp. 193-224). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

[19] Winne, P. H., & Hadwin, A. F. (1998). Studying as self-regulated learning. In D. Hacker, J. Dunlosky, & A. C. Graesser (Eds.), Metacognition in educational theory and practice (pp. 277-305). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[20] Zhang, Lian. 2013. "Metacognitive Strategy Use and Academic Reading Achievement: Insights from a Chinese Context". Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching 10 (1): 54–69.