Law and Humanities
ISSN Print: 3079-5117
ISSN Online: 3079-5125
https://doi.org/10.63313/LH.9051

# The Impact of Competition Policy Rules in Re-gional Trade Agreements on the International Trade Pattern

**Zhiyuan Cao**
Anhui University of Finance and Economics, Bengbu 233000, China
Email: lanxinyuan3@outlook.com

**How to cite this paper:** Cao, Z. (2026). The Impact of Competition Policy Rules in Regional Trade Agreements on the International Trade Pattern. Law and Humanities, 2(3), 46–53. ISSN Print: 3079-5117; ISSN Online: 3079-5125.
https://doi.org/10.63313/LH.9051
**Published: 2026-08-03**

Copyright © 2026 by author(s) and Erytis Publishing Limited.
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



## Abstract

Regional Trade Agreements (RTAs) have evolved significantly from their traditional focus on tariff reduc-tions to become comprehensive frameworks governing regional economic integration. Among the most important regulatory developments is the incorporation of competition policy, which seeks to prevent an-ti-competitive business practices, promote market efficiency, encourage innovation, and facilitate fair com-petition among firms operating across national borders. Competition policy has become increasingly im-portant because tariff liberalization alone cannot guarantee competitive markets if dominant firms engage in practices that restrict market access or distort competition. The analysis demonstrates that competition policy has become a fundamental complement to trade liberal-ization by reducing market distortions, improving regulatory transparency, strengthening investor confi-dence, and promoting efficient allocation of resources. Nevertheless, significant challenges remain, including institutional capacity, regulatory divergence, digital market regulation, and balancing competition policy with industrial development objectives. The paper concludes that while competition policy has substantially influenced international trade patterns by supporting deeper regional economic integration, its effectiveness ultimately depends upon strong institutions, regulatory cooperation, and continued adaptation to the changing global economy.

## Keywords

Competition Policy; Regional Trade Agreements; International Trade; Competition Law; WTO; Foreign Direct Investment; Global Value Chains; Trade Liberalization

## 1. Introduction

International trade has undergone remarkable transformation over the past several decades. Since the establishment of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) in 1947 and the creation of the World Trade Organization (WTO) in 1995, governments have progressively reduced tariffs and other traditional trade barriers to encourage greater economic integration. These developments have contributed

significantly to the expansion of global trade, increased foreign investment, and improved economic growth in many countries. However, policymakers gradually recognized that removing border barriers alone was insufficient to ensure genuinely competitive international markets. Even after tariffs were eliminated, firms could still engage in anti-competitive practices that limited market access, reduced consumer choice, and weakened the benefits of trade liberalization.

The relationship between competition policy and international trade has attracted considerable attention from economists, legal scholars, and policymakers. Traditional trade theory focused primarily on comparative advantage and tariff reduction, supposing that market competition would naturally emerge following trade liberalization. More recent research demonstrates that this assumption is incomplete because private market restrictions can continue limiting competition even after governments remove formal trade barriers. Cartels, monopolistic practices, exclusive distribution agreements, and anti-competitive mergers may all prevent foreign firms from entering regional markets despite the existence of free trade agreements. Competition policy therefore complements trade liberalization by ensuring that private firms cannot replace government-imposed trade barriers with their own market restrictions.

Despite these benefits, competition policy also presents important challenges. Significant differences remain among countries regarding institutional capacity, legal traditions, enforcement priorities, and economic development. Developed economies generally possess experienced competition authorities and well-established legal systems, whereas many developing countries continue strengthening their enforcement institutions. Moreover, rapid technological change has created new competition concerns associated with digital platforms, artificial intelligence, and data-driven business models. Governments must also balance competition policy with broader public objectives, including industrial development, environmental sustainability, employment, and national security.

## 2. Literature Review

The relationship between competition policy and international trade has become an increasingly important area of research in economics, international business, and international law. As regional trade agreements have expanded beyond tariff liberalization to include broader regulatory cooperation, competition policy has attracted growing academic attention as a mechanism for improving market efficiency and supporting regional economic integration. Existing literature generally agrees that competition policy complements trade liberalization by ensuring that private firms do not undermine open markets through anti-competitive conduct. However, scholars differ regarding the appropriate design of competition rules, their relationship with industrial policy, and the extent to which competition law should be harmonized internationally.

Competition policy has traditionally been defined as the collection of laws, regulations, and enforcement mechanisms designed to promote competitive markets by preventing anti-competitive agreements, abuse of market dominance, anti-competitive mergers, and other business practices that reduce competition. According to Whish and Bailey (2021), competition law seeks to protect the competitive process rather than individual competitors, thereby promoting economic efficiency and consumer welfare. This distinction is important because competition policy is intended to create an environment in which firms compete on the basis of price, quality, innovation, and efficiency instead of relying on monopolistic practices or restrictive agreements.

Early international trade theory paid relatively little attention to competition policy. Classical economists such as Adam Smith and David Ricardo argued that free trade allows countries to specialize according to comparative advantage, increasing overall welfare through more efficient resource allocation. However, these traditional models generally assumed that markets were perfectly competitive and did not consider situations in which firms possessed significant market power. As international markets became increasingly concentrated during the twentieth century, economists recognized that imperfect competition could substantially influence trade outcomes.

One of the most influential contributions to this field came from Paul Krugman (1979, 1980) through the development of the New Trade Theory. Krugman argued that international trade is influenced not only by comparative advantage but also by economies of scale, product differentiation, and monopolistic competition. Under these conditions, firms may obtain significant market power, making competition policy an important complement to trade liberalization. Rather than assuming perfectly competitive markets, New Trade Theory explains why industries characterized by increasing returns to scale often become concentrated among relatively few firms. Consequently, competition policy becomes essential for ensuring that market concentration does not reduce the benefits of international trade.

## 3. Competition Policy Rules in Regional Trade Agreements

Regional Trade Agreements have changed significantly over the past several decades. Earlier agreements focused primarily on reducing tariffs and eliminating quantitative restrictions on trade. Today, however, RTAs include a much broader range of regulatory issues designed to facilitate deeper economic integration. Competition policy has become one of the most important of these areas because governments increasingly recognize that trade liberalization alone cannot guarantee competitive markets.

### 3.1. Evolution of Competition Policy in RTAs

The integration of competition policy into trade agreements has developed gradually over time.

Early trade agreements concentrated almost entirely on reducing tariffs and quotas because governments regarded border barriers as the principal obstacles to international trade. Domestic competition law remained largely a national issue with limited international coordination. During the post-war period, institutions such as the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) focused primarily on preventing protectionist government policies rather than regulating private business conduct.

As tariffs declined worldwide during successive rounds of multilateral trade negotiations, policymakers recognized that anti-competitive behavior by private firms could restrict trade even in liberalized markets. Cartels, monopolies, exclusive distribution arrangements, and abuse of dominant positions could prevent foreign firms from entering domestic markets despite the removal of formal trade barriers. Consequently, governments increasingly incorporated competition provisions into regional trade agreements to address these private restrictions on trade.

### 3.2. Objectives of Competition Policy Rules

Competition policy provisions within RTAs pursue several interrelated objectives.

The first objective is promoting fair competition. Effective competition ensures that firms succeed through greater efficiency, innovation, and product quality rather than through restrictive business practices. Fair competition encourages businesses to improve productivity while providing consumers with lower prices and greater product choice.

The second objective is facilitating market access. Even after tariffs are removed, dominant firms may continue restricting competition through exclusionary conduct. Competition policy helps eliminate these private barriers, enabling domestic and foreign firms to compete under similar market conditions.

The third objective is improving economic efficiency. Competitive markets allocate resources more effectively because firms must respond to consumer demand rather than relying upon monopoly power. Increased efficiency contributes to economic growth, higher productivity, and stronger export competitiveness.

## 4. Impact of Competition Policy Rules on International Trade Patterns

Competition policy has become one of the most influential regulatory instruments shaping modern international trade. While earlier trade agreements concentrated primarily on reducing tariffs, quotas, and other border barriers, contemporary Regional Trade Agreements (RTAs) recognize that liberalization alone cannot guarantee competitive markets. Private firms may continue restricting competition through anti-competitive agreements, abuse of dominant market positions, or

exclusionary business practices. Consequently, competition policy has emerged as an essential complement to trade liberalization, ensuring that firms compete fairly and that markets remain open to both domestic and foreign businesses.

### 4.1. Promoting Fair Market Access

One of the primary objectives of competition policy is to improve market access by preventing anti-competitive conduct that restricts entry into domestic markets.

Traditional trade liberalization removes government-imposed barriers such as tariffs and quotas. However, foreign firms may still encounter significant obstacles if incumbent firms engage in restrictive business practices. These practices include predatory pricing, exclusive distribution agreements, refusal to supply essential facilities, and collusive arrangements among domestic competitors.

For example, a dominant domestic producer may temporarily reduce prices below production costs to force foreign competitors out of the market. Once competition has been eliminated, prices can be increased to recover losses. Similarly, exclusive contracts with distributors may prevent new entrants from accessing efficient distribution channels, even though tariffs have been removed.

### 4.2. Promoting Fair Market Access

Competition policy also has a significant influence on foreign direct investment (FDI), which plays a major role in shaping international trade patterns.

Investors seek stable, transparent, and predictable business environments where legal rules are consistently applied. Countries possessing effective competition laws provide greater confidence that markets operate fairly and that business decisions are based upon commercial considerations rather than monopolistic practices or arbitrary government intervention.

## 5. Challenges and Future Prospects

Competition policy has become an essential component of Regional Trade Agreements (RTAs), contributing to market efficiency, consumer welfare, innovation, and international trade. However, the global economy continues to evolve rapidly, creating new challenges for competition authorities and policymakers. Technological change, digitalization, geopolitical tensions, environmental sustainability, and increasing government intervention in strategic industries have all transformed the environment in which competition policy operates.

### 5.1. Differences in Institutional Capacity

One of the greatest challenges facing competition policy is the significant difference in institutional capacity among countries.

Developed economies generally possess well-established competition authorities with experienced economists, legal specialists, investigators, and administrative

staff. These agencies have sufficient financial resources to conduct complex investigations involving multinational corporations and sophisticated digital markets.

These institutional differences affect the consistency of competition law enforcement within regional trade agreements.

For example, while the European Union operates an extensive supranational enforcement system supported by the European Commission, agreements such as RCEP include members whose competition authorities remain at different stages of institutional development.

As a result, similar anti-competitive conduct may receive different regulatory treatment across jurisdictions.

### 5.2. Regulatory Divergence

Although many RTAs encourage regulatory cooperation, important differences continue to exist among national competition laws.

Multinational enterprises operating across several jurisdictions frequently face multiple investigations under different legal standards.

For example, a merger involving global corporations may require approval from competition authorities in dozens of countries.

Although complete harmonization is unlikely because countries retain national sovereignty over competition law, greater procedural convergence could significantly improve regulatory efficiency.

Many international organizations, including the OECD and the International Competition Network (ICN), continue encouraging convergence through voluntary guidelines and best practice recommendations.

## 6. Conclusion

Competition policy has become one of the most important components of modern Regional Trade Agreements (RTAs). While earlier trade agreements mainly focused on reducing tariffs and other border barriers, contemporary RTAs recognize that open trade alone cannot guarantee competitive markets. Private firms may still restrict competition through monopolistic behavior, anti-competitive agreements, abuse of market dominance, or mergers that reduce market competition. Therefore, competition policy has become an essential complement to trade liberalization by ensuring that markets remain open, efficient, and fair for both domestic and foreign firms.

This paper has examined the impact of competition policy rules in RTAs on international trade patterns through a review of relevant theories, existing literature, legal frameworks, practical case studies, and current policy challenges. The analysis demonstrates that competition policy contributes significantly to regional economic integration by improving market efficiency, encouraging

investment, supporting innovation, protecting consumers, and strengthening international cooperation.

The literature review showed that economists and legal scholars generally agree that competition policy promotes economic efficiency and improves market performance. New Trade Theory, developed by Krugman and other economists, explains that international trade increasingly occurs under conditions of imperfect competition rather than perfect competition. Under these circumstances, competition policy becomes necessary because firms may otherwise use market power to restrict competition. Institutional economics further suggests that effective legal institutions reduce uncertainty, encourage investment, and improve long-term economic development. Together, these theoretical perspectives explain why competition policy has become an important part of modern trade agreements.

The analysis of competition policy rules within RTAs demonstrated that competition chapters have gradually expanded during recent decades. Following the limited success of multilateral negotiations within the World Trade Organization (WTO), regional trade agreements became the primary mechanism for promoting international cooperation in competition policy. Although individual agreements differ in their legal structures, they generally prohibit anti-competitive agreements, abuse of dominant market positions, anti-competitive mergers, and other business practices that reduce market competition. Most RTAs also encourage procedural fairness, regulatory transparency, and cooperation among national competition authorities.

## References

[1] Baldwin, R. (2016). The Great Convergence: Information Technology and the New Globalization. Harvard University Press.

[2] Buccirossi, P., Ciari, L., Duso, T., Fridolfsson, S.-O., Spagnolo, G., & Vitale, C. (2011). Measuring the deterrence properties of competition policy: The Competition Policy Indexes. Journal of Competition Law & Economics, 7(1), 165–204.

[3] European Commission. (2024). Competition policy. https://competition-policy.ec.europa.eu/

[4] European Union. (2012). Consolidated version of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. Official Journal of the European Union.

[5] Fox, E. M. (1997). Toward world antitrust and market access. American Journal of International Law, 91(1), 1–25.

[6] Fox, E. M. (2003). International antitrust: Against minimum rules; for cosmopolitan principles.

[7] Gerber, D. J. (2010). Global Competition: Law, Markets, and Globalization. Oxford University Press. https://global.oup.com/academic/product/global-competition-9780199228225

[8] Helpman, E., & Krugman, P. R. (1985). Market Structure and Foreign Trade. MIT Press. https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262580878/market-structure-and-foreign-trade/

[9] International Competition Network. (2024). About the ICN. https://www.internationalcompetitionnetwork.org/

[10] Krugman, P. R. (1979). Increasing returns, monopolistic competition, and international trade. Journal of International Economics, 9(4), 469–479.

[11] Krugman, P. R. (1980). Scale economies, product differentiation, and the pattern of trade. American Economic Review, 70(5), 950–959.

[12] Krugman, P. R., Obstfeld, M., & Melitz, M. J. (2018). International Economics: Theory and Policy (11th ed.). Pearson.

[13] Mavroidis, P. C., & Sapir, A. (2021). China and the WTO: Why Multilateralism Still Matters. Princeton University Press. https://press.princeton.edu/books/hardcover/9780691206592/china-and-the-wto

[14] Nicoletti, G., & Scarpetta, S. (2003). Regulation, productivity and growth: OECD evidence. Economic Policy, 18(36), 9–72.

[15] North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511808678

[16] Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2021). Competition Trends 2021. https://www.oecd.org/competition/competition-trends.htm

[17] Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). Competition. https://www.oecd.org/competition/

[18] Porter, M. E. (1990). The Competitive Advantage of Nations. Free Press. https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=193

[19] United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2023). Model Law on Competition.

[20] World Bank. (2020). Global Investment Competitiveness Report 2019/2020: Rebuilding Investor Confidence in Times of Uncertainty. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/investment-climate/publication/global-investment-competitiveness-report

[21] World Trade Organization. (2023). World Trade Report 2023: Re-globalization for a Secure, Inclusive and Sustainable Future.

[22] World Trade Organization. (n.d.). Regional Trade Agreements.

[23] Whish, R., & Bailey, D. (2021). Competition Law (10th ed.). Oxford University Press.