Educational and Humanities
ISSN Print: 3104-4352
ISSN Online: 3104-4360
CD ISSN: 3104-4379
https://doi.org/10.63313/EH.9047

Philosophy of University Curriculum and Instruction

YanNi Xu
Jiangxi Agricultural University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330045, China

How to cite this paper: Xu, Y. N. (2026). Philosophy of University Curriculum and Instruction. *Educational and Humanities*, 2(3), 10 - 19. ISSN Print: 3104-4352; ISSN Online: 3104-4360.
https://doi.org/10.63313/EH.9047
Published: 2026-03-06

Copyright © 2026 by author(s) and Erytis Publishing Limited.
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
CC BY 4.0

Abstract

Philosophy of university curriculum and instruction is a core component of higher education. They are closely related and work together to improve the quality of education. Curriculum design not only affects students' academic learning outcomes, but also exerts a profound influence on the cultivation of their critical thinking, innovative ability, and sense of social responsibility. At the same time, philosophy of university curriculum and instruction is a very important part of educational theory. It explores how to design, implement, and evaluate university curricula, and reflects educators' basic ideas, values, and goals concerning teaching. Any theory and practice of curriculum and instruction more or less implies certain fundamental philosophical assumptions. No matter how we view curriculum and instruction, it is always related to the nature of knowledge and its teaching. What should teachers teach? How should students learn? Who should determine the curriculum? Answers to these questions all reflect the respondent's view of knowledge. Moreover, different views of knowledge will largely shape the answers to these basic questions. By analyzing the internal logic of curriculum design and teaching practice, this chapter reveals how the two jointly shape the quality of education.

Keywords

University courses; Curriculum and teaching; Teaching philosophy

1. Philosophy of University Curriculum and Instruction

1.1. Philosophy of University Curriculum

Philosophy of university curriculum refers to a theoretical framework and ideological system concerning the design, implementation, and evaluation of curricula. It mainly focuses on philosophical reflections on the purpose, objectives, content, teaching methods, and assessment of curricula. Curriculum philosophy is not merely the arrangement of teaching content and the setting of learning objectives, but involves deeper issues such as the essence of education, the nature of knowledge, the process of learning, and the relationship between teachers and

students. It provides a theoretical foundation for the development and implementation of curricula, and reflects educators' values and beliefs in educational practice.

1.2. Philosophy of University Curriculum

Philosophy of instruction refers to teachers' understanding, attitudes, and basic beliefs about education and teaching practice. Different philosophies of instruction influence teaching strategies, teacher-student interaction, and classroom atmosphere. Philosophy of university instruction is a profound reflection on the basic principles, theories, and values of university education and teaching practice. It focuses on the purpose of education, teaching methods, teacher-student relationships, curriculum design and assessment, aiming to provide theoretical guidance for educational practice and help the development of teaching activities and academic environment.

1.3. Connections Between Philosophy of University Curriculum and Instruction

In universities, teaching and curriculum design are interrelated. A teacher's philosophy of instruction not only influences teaching methods in class, but also affects how students are assessed and how curricula are revised and updated. With the continuous development of educational technology and ideas, philosophy of university curriculum and instruction is also evolving to meet the needs of the new era.

1.3.1. Shared Educational Goals and Value Orientation

- Curriculum philosophy focuses on the selection and design of curriculum content and the educational ideas behind it. It is concerned with "what knowledge and abilities we hope students will acquire through this course."
- Philosophy of instruction focuses more on teacher-student interaction, teaching methods, and the construction of teaching environment in the teaching process. It is concerned with "how we teach and how we guide students to learn."

Therefore, the goals of philosophy of university curriculum and philosophy of instruction are often unified. Both reflect on how to cultivate students' knowledge, abilities, and literacy, and promote their all-round development. Philosophy of instruction provides methodological support for curriculum implementation, while curriculum philosophy provides theoretical guidance for philosophy of instruction.

1.3.2. Interaction Between Curriculum Design and Teaching Methods

The connection between curriculum philosophy and philosophy of instruction lies in the interaction between curriculum design and teaching methods. Curriculum philosophy guides the goal-setting, content selection, and disciplinary construction of curricula, while philosophy of instruction provides direction for teaching methods of

these curriculum contents.

For example, if the philosophical idea of a course is to cultivate students' innovative and autonomous learning abilities, then philosophy of instruction will guide teachers to adopt inquiry-based and collaborative teaching methods, such as case studies, group cooperation, and project-oriented learning.

1.3.3. Alignment Between Teacher-Student Relationship and Curriculum Objectives

Curriculum philosophy and philosophy of instruction jointly influence the construction of teacher-student relationship. Guided by philosophy of instruction, teachers' role changes from "transmitter of knowledge" to "facilitator" or "collaborator of learning", which directly affects curriculum implementation.

Curriculum philosophy emphasizes the design of curriculum content and the clarity of curriculum objectives, which serve as guidelines for teachers in the teaching process. Teachers should help students understand and master curriculum content through effective teacher-student interaction, and gradually achieve curriculum objectives.

Philosophy of instruction concerns the interactive relationship between teachers and students, and how to mobilize students' enthusiasm and enhance their learning motivation in the teaching process. For instance, a student-centered philosophy of instruction emphasizes students' initiative and subjectivity in the learning process, which requires teachers to adjust teaching strategies according to students' interests, needs, and feedback, so as to achieve the expected goals of the curriculum.

1.3.4. Synergy of Assessment and Feedback

Curriculum assessment and teaching assessment are both guided by curriculum philosophy and philosophy of instruction to a certain extent. They are closely linked and jointly affect the effect of curriculum implementation.

Curriculum philosophy defines the core content and learning outcomes of the curriculum, and assessment criteria must be consistent with curriculum objectives. Assessment methods can be diversified. In addition to traditional examinations, they may also include projects, team work, oral presentations, etc., aiming to assess students' learning outcomes at different levels.

Philosophy of instruction guides teachers in designing assessment methods and feedback mechanisms. The student-centered idea in philosophy of instruction emphasizes the multi-dimensional evaluation of students' abilities, rather than measuring students' knowledge mastery only by scores. For example, teachers adopt formative assessment in the teaching process, that is, immediate feedback and improvement during teaching, to promote the sustainable development of students' learning.

2. Philosophical Foundations: Inquiry into the Essence of Education

A philosophical perspective provides a fundamental framework for curriculum and instruction. This chapter explores how different philosophical schools influence educational practice from the perspectives of epistemology and axiology.

2.1. Epistemological Perspective

2.1.1. Objectivity of Knowledge

Perennialism holds that universities should teach universal truths, and curriculum design centers on "perennial subjects". As an educational philosophy, perennialism advocates that university education should focus on imparting universal truths across times and cultures, emphasizing classic works, core disciplines, and the cultivation of students' rational and moral abilities. This idea stresses the universal value of human wisdom and thought, rather than short-term social needs or vocational skill training. Although perennialism faces some challenges in modern education, it reminds us that education is not only a process of knowledge transmission, but also a process of cultivating critical thinking, moral judgment, and sense of social responsibility.

2.1.2. Constructiveness of Knowledge

Pragmatism emphasizes that knowledge is the reconstruction of experience, and teaching should be based on problem-solving (e.g., project-based learning). Pragmatism emphasizes that knowledge is the reconstruction of experience, and teaching should center on solving practical problems. This educational idea promotes curriculum design to pay more attention to students' practical application ability, emphasizes interdisciplinary integration and practical experience, and strives to enable students to learn how to apply knowledge to practical problems through personal experience and reflection. Through problem-oriented teaching methods, the combination of experience and practice, and student-centered education, pragmatism can cultivate students' critical thinking, innovative ability, and social adaptability, enabling them to cope with complex and changing social challenges.

2.1.3. Criticality of Knowledge

Critical Theory (Frankfurt School) holds that curricula should cultivate students' reflection on social power structures (e.g., gender studies, environmental justice courses). Critical Theory, especially the thought of the Frankfurt School, advocates that education should help students reflect on and criticize power structures, inequalities, and oppressive mechanisms in society. Curricula should focus on social power relations and inspire students' enthusiasm for social change. By introducing topics such as gender studies, environmental justice, and global justice, Critical

Theory can provide strong guidance for curriculum design, enabling students not only to acquire knowledge, but also to become promoters of social change through education.

2.2. Axiological Perspective

2.2.1. Personal Development

Existentialism (Sartre) emphasizes that education respects individual freedom, and curricula should provide space for choice (e.g., general education electives). Existentialist education emphasizes respect for individual freedom, choice, and responsibility. It holds that the goal of education is to help students realize themselves and cultivate independent thinking and critical thinking. Sartre's philosophy emphasizes that everyone should define themselves through free choice. Education should provide students with more choices, especially in curriculum design, which should include rich elective courses and general education, allowing students to choose freely according to their personal interests and development needs.

2.2.2. Social Service

Utilitarianism (Bentham) advocates that education serves social needs, and curriculum design is aligned with industries (e.g., STEM disciplines, vocation-oriented majors). From the perspective of utilitarianism, the core goal of education is to serve social needs, cultivate citizens and professionals with social utility, and emphasize the practicality and applicability of education. It advocates that education should cultivate professional skills that can promote social development and solve practical problems, strengthen cooperation with industry, and promote students' social adaptability and employability by updating curriculum content to meet social needs.

3. Influence of Philosophical Schools

Different philosophical schools exert a profound influence on curriculum and instruction. This chapter analyzes their theoretical and practical characteristics by taking perennialism, progressivism, and postmodernism as examples.

3.1. Perennialism

3.1.1. Curriculum

Classic texts (e.g., Plato's Republic, Confucius' Analects). Perennialist curricula take classic texts as the core, emphasizing the transmission of universal truths across times and cultures, helping students understand the essence of human experience. Such curriculum design not only contributes to students' knowledge accumulation, but also cultivates their critical thinking, moral responsibility, and interdisciplinary

comprehensive ability. Through studying classic works, students can not only inherit the essence of human civilization, but also make more rational and in-depth thinking when facing modern social problems.

3.1.2. Teaching

Lecturing (transmission of the "great tradition"). As a traditional educational method, lecturing still plays an important role in higher education. Through lectures, teachers can systematically convey classic texts, thoughts, history and culture, and provide students with a solid academic foundation. To improve its teaching effect, lecturing can be combined with modern educational technology and interactive teaching methods to promote students' active participation and critical thinking, helping them understand more deeply.

3.1.3. Representative

St. John's College (USA) – the "Great Books Program". The "Great Books Program" at St. John's College is a unique educational model, aiming to cultivate students' critical thinking, interdisciplinary comprehensive ability, and profound understanding of human wisdom through reading and discussing classic texts. It represents an educational tradition across time and culture, emphasizing the depth and breadth of knowledge rather than merely the cultivation of vocational skills. In the modern globalized and information-based society, the curriculum at St. John's College provides students with a profound perspective to reflect on tradition and reality, understand the world and themselves.

3.2. Progressivism

3.2.1. Curriculum

Interdisciplinary themes (e.g., "climate change" integrating science, ethics, policy). Progressive curricula emphasize the integration of multi-disciplinary knowledge to cultivate students' comprehensive understanding and problem-solving ability. In the interdisciplinary theme of climate change, students not only study science and technology, but also analyze ethical, social, and policy issues. Through problem-oriented learning, case studies, interdisciplinary cooperation, students can better cope with complex global challenges, cultivate critical thinking and sense of social responsibility, and prepare for future global citizenship.

3.2.2. Teaching

Experiential learning (fieldwork, social service). It emphasizes promoting students' learning through practice and experience. Different from traditional classroom teaching, progressive education pays more attention to students acquiring knowledge and skills through participating in practical activities. This learning method can not only enhance students' understanding of theoretical knowledge, but

also help them apply what they have learned to the real world, so as to cultivate critical thinking, sense of social responsibility, and ability to solve practical problems. In progressive education, fieldwork and social service are two important ways of experiential learning, which provide valuable practical opportunities to help students deeply understand social issues, scientific principles, humanistic care and other aspects.

3.2.3. Representative

Dewey's Laboratory School at the University of Chicago ("learning by doing"). Dewey's Laboratory School at the University of Chicago is an important experimental platform for progressive education, where his theory of "learning by doing" has been fully practiced and verified. Through such experiential learning, students are not only recipients of knowledge, but also creators and explorers of knowledge. Under Dewey's educational idea, the Laboratory School encourages students to achieve all-round development through practical operation, project learning, and interdisciplinary integration, cultivating their critical thinking, sense of social responsibility, and problem-solving ability.

3.3. Postmodernism

3.3.1. Curriculum

Decentralization and multiple narratives (e.g., feminist literature courses, non-Western philosophy). Postmodernist curricula advocate decentralization and multiple narratives to break the single cultural and knowledge framework in traditional education and explore thoughts and experiences under different social, cultural, and historical backgrounds. Through examples such as feminist literature courses and non-Western philosophy courses, students can not only acquire cross-cultural knowledge, but also develop critical thinking and reflective ability. The core of postmodernist curricula is to deconstruct tradition, advocate diverse ways of thinking, and encourage students to question, explore, and actively participate in the process of shaping knowledge.

3.3.2. Teaching

Dialogue and deconstruction (Lyotard's theory of "language games"). Postmodernist teaching is closely related to Lyotard's theory of "language games". By emphasizing diversity, decentralization, dialogue, and deconstruction, it promotes the innovation of traditional teaching methods. Teachers in class are no longer simply transmitters of knowledge, but guides and facilitators of knowledge. The classroom becomes a place full of dialogue, interaction, and collision of diverse ideas. The purpose of postmodernist teaching is to enable students to understand the world from multiple perspectives, cultivate their critical thinking and creativity, and help them find their own independent voice in the complex modern society.

3.3.3. Practice

Integration of "Indigenous Knowledge Systems" in Canadian curriculum reform. Canadian curriculum reform embodies the basic ideas of postmodernist education—decentralization, multiple narratives, and cultural deconstruction—by incorporating Indigenous knowledge systems into teaching. This reform not only recognizes and respects Indigenous cultures, but also promotes students' understanding and respect for different cultures and knowledge systems. The challenges and opportunities in this process provide valuable experience for the diversity, equality, and inclusiveness of global education.

4. Reflections in the Chinese Context

Chinese education needs to strike a balance between traditional philosophy and modern needs. This chapter discusses the modern transformation of Confucianism and Taoism, as well as policy-oriented practices.

4.1. Modern Transformation of Traditional Philosophy

4.1.1. Confucian "Teaching Students in Accordance with Their Aptitude" and Personalized Learning

Exemplified by the tutorial system of the "Xuetang Class" at Tsinghua University, the Confucian idea of "teaching students in accordance with their aptitude" is deeply connected and complementary with modern personalized learning concepts. The tutorial system of the "Xuetang Class" at Tsinghua University is a successful case of this idea in modern education. Through small-class teaching, tutorial system, and flexible curriculum design, it provides space for students' personalized development and truly realizes the educational goal of "teaching students in accordance with their aptitude".

4.1.2. Taoist "Naturalism" and General Education

Exemplified by "free exploration" courses that respect students' interests, Taoist "naturalism", which emphasizes following nature and free development, is highly compatible with "free exploration" courses in modern education. This educational model respects students' interests and personality development, focuses on stimulating internal motivation, provides a relaxed and free learning space, and helps students achieve personal growth and all-round development through independent choice and exploration.

4.2. Policy-Oriented Philosophical Practice

4.2.1. Fundamental Task of "Fostering Virtue and Morality"

Integrating ideological-political education into professional teaching (e.g., "Red Culture" general education course at Nanchang University). "Fostering virtue and

morality" is one of the fundamental tasks of higher education in the new era put forward by General Secretary Xi Jinping. It emphasizes that higher education should not only impart knowledge and skills, but also pay attention to the cultivation of students' ideological, political, and moral qualities. This task requires universities to emphasize moral education and cultivate well-rounded talents in teaching to serve the needs of the country and society.

4.2.2. Construction of "Emerging Engineering Education"

Engineering ethics education (compulsory module of "Engineering Philosophy" at Harbin Institute of Technology). As an important measure in the construction of "Emerging Engineering Education", the compulsory module of "Engineering Philosophy" at Harbin Institute of Technology fully reflects the key role of engineering ethics education in cultivating future engineers. In the future education reform, engineering ethics education will be further deepened and integrated with the concept of "Emerging Engineering Education" to promote the cultivation of engineering and technical talents with innovative spirit, sense of social responsibility, and global vision.

5. Conclusion

The ultimate inquiry of philosophy of university curriculum and instruction always points to "What kind of people should we cultivate?" In an era of uncertainty, it is both a commitment to the essence of education and a creative response to humanity's future.

In the contemporary educational environment, philosophy of university curriculum and instruction is a topic that all educators and scholars must deliberate on. It involves not only the selection and organization of curriculum content, but also the choice of teaching methods and concepts, all of which profoundly affect students' learning experiences and academic growth. Therefore, understanding the essence of philosophy of university curriculum and instruction not only helps improve teaching quality, but also enables a better response to the changing needs of society and the times.

References

[1] Liu Wenxia. Reflections on the Development Trend of Chinese Philosophy of Education [J]. Journal of Shandong Normal University (Humanities and Social Sciences), 2004 (2).

[2] Liu Wenxia. Understanding Educational Philosophy and Its Causes from' Love Wisdom ' [J]. Educational Research, 2002 (12).

[3] Fan Yunfeng. Philosophical Thinking on the Theory of Teaching Subject [J]. Journal of Fuling Normal University, 2001 (10).

[4] Liu Xianjun. Philosophical Reflections on Several Issues of Higher Education [J]. Higher Education Research, 2010(8).

[5] Li Chun. Reflections on the Cultural Philosophy of the Essence of Teaching [J]. Tianzhong Journal, 2010 (8).

[6] Li Changji, Zhang Yajun. Teacher 's Teaching Reflection [J]. Curriculum Textbook Teaching Method, 2006 (2).

[7] Liu Shibin. Covering and Clarification-Philosophical Thinking on Teaching Field [J]. Education Theory and Practice, 2005 (3).

[8] Chen Jia. The Influence of Dephilosophizing Tendency on College Teaching [J]. Education Review, 2008(4).

[9] Lulin Hai. Learning view and university teaching-seeking the root of learning view in university teaching reform [J]. University Research and Evaluation, 2007(9).