Educational and Humanities
ISSN Print: 3104-4352
ISSN Online: 3104-4360
CD ISSN: 3104-4379
https://doi.org/10.63313/EH.9054

A Brief Discussion on the Synergistic Development Path of Individual Education and Holistic Education

RuiAn He
Mongolia Education Studys PHD, Graduate University of Mongolia, Mongolia Ulaanbaatar 15141, Mongolia
Email: 3981628201@qq.com

How to cite this paper: He, R. A. (2026). A brief discussion on the synergistic development path of individual education and holistic education. *Educational and Humanities,* 3(1), 1–8. ISSN Print: 3104-4352; ISSN Online: 3104-4360.
https://doi.org/10.63313/EH.9054
Published: 2026-04-08

Copyright © 2026 by author(s) and Erytis Publishing Limited.
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
CC BY 4.0

Abstract

Individual education and holistic education, as core components of the educational system, are not mutually exclusive but rather an interdependent and dialectically unified organic whole. Individual education emphasizes respecting students' differences and subjectivity, aiming to achieve personalized development, while holistic education focuses on educational equity and systematic approaches to promote collective competency enhancement. Starting with an analysis of the connotations of individual and holistic education, this paper explores their dialectical relationship, examines imbalances in current educational practices, and proposes collaborative development strategies tailored to different educational stages. The findings aim to provide theoretical references and practical insights for building a modern education system that balances individualization and holistic development.

Keywords

Individualized education; Holistic education; Dialectical relationship; Collaborative development; Educational equity

1. Introduction

The essence of education lies in nurturing individuals, fulfilling both personal aspirations for self-actualization and societal demands for high-caliber talent. Traditional education models predominantly emphasized holistic approaches, featuring standardized curricula, uniform teaching schedules, and uniform evaluation systems. While these practices ensured educational equity and efficiency, they also inadvertently stifled students' individual development. With the advancement of quality-oriented education and digital transformation in education, personalized learning has gained increasing prominence. Respecting individual differences and implementing differentiated instruction have become core

principles guiding educational reforms.

2. Analysis of the Connotations of Individual Education and Holistic Education

2.1. Core Principles of Individualized Education

Individualized education centers on each student as a unique entity, adopting tailored approaches based on their psychological and physical development patterns, interests, aptitudes, and developmental needs. This educational model fosters holistic growth with distinct individual characteristics. Its core principles manifest in three key aspects: First, embracing diversity by acknowledging innate differences in intelligence, personality, interests, and learning styles, while moving away from uniform educational approaches. Second, emphasizing student agency through active participation in learning processes, encouraging self-directed exploration to cultivate independent thinking and innovative capabilities. Third, pursuing personalized development by identifying students' unique potential and self-actualization, enabling every learner to develop distinctive personality traits and core competencies.

2.2. Core Principles of Holistic Education

Holistic education is an educational model that targets educational communities, building a unified, systematic, and equitable education system based on societal development needs and educational principles to enhance students 'overall competencies. Its core tenets include: First, emphasizing systematic integration by coordinating educational objectives, curriculum frameworks, teaching methodologies, and assessment mechanisms to create a cohesive educational ecosystem. Second, pursuing equity by ensuring equal access to quality educational resources for all students, thereby narrowing disparities between individuals and groups. Third, highlighting social relevance by cultivating qualified citizens who meet societal demands, with a focus on developing students' teamwork skills, collective awareness, and social responsibility.

2.3. The Dialectical Relationship Between Individual Education and Holistic Education

(1) Interdependence: Both serve as prerequisites for each other's existence

Individualized education forms the foundation of holistic education. Without the comprehensive development of individuals, the quality of holistic education cannot be achieved. Education targets specific students, and each learner's developmental level directly determines the collective progress of the group. Neglecting individualized education risks plunging holistic education into egalitarianism, resulting in graduates who lack distinct personalities and innovative capabilities, making them ill-equipped to meet society's diverse developmental needs.

(2) Holistic education serves as the safeguard for individual education. Without the support of holistic education, individual education would lose its direction and foundation. Individual development cannot be separated from the influence of group environments. The equitable educational environment, systematic curriculum framework, and positive educational atmosphere established through holistic education provide essential resource support and developmental space for individual education.

(3) Mutual reinforcement: The two form a virtuous interaction

The advancement of individualized education can significantly enhance the overall quality of education. By focusing on unlocking students' potential and nurturing their unique strengths, individualized education encourages exploration and innovation across diverse fields. This approach not only promotes holistic personal development but also injects fresh perspectives, innovative methodologies, and dynamic energy into the educational community, driving reforms and improvements within the entire education system. Meanwhile, the refinement of comprehensive education creates broader developmental opportunities for individualized education to thrive.

2.4. Unity of opposites: Seeking balance in contradiction

Individualized education and holistic education inherently exhibit certain contradictions and conflicts. While emphasizing diversity and personalization, individualized education may lead to diversified student development paths, posing challenges to the organizational management of holistic education. Conversely, holistic education's focus on uniformity and standardization might constrain students' individual growth, making it difficult to meet their personalized needs.

This contradiction is not irreconcilable, but rather can be resolved through seeking unity within opposition. The ultimate goals of individual education and holistic education are aligned — they both aim to promote students 'comprehensive development and social progress. Therefore, the key to educational practice lies in finding a balance between the two: neither should individual education overshadow collective interests, nor should the pursuit of holistic unity stifle students' individuality. Through scientific educational design and effective implementation strategies, we can achieve organic integration of individual education and holistic education, creating a favorable situation where "individual development and collective advancement complement each other."

3. The Imbalance Between Individualized Education and Holistic Education in Current Educational Practice

3.1. Overemphasis on overall uniformity at the expense of individual differences

The issues manifest in three key aspects: First, standardized curriculum design

requires all students to use identical textbooks and follow uniform teaching schedules, lacking personalized courses tailored to individual interests and aptitudes. Second, monotonous teaching methods predominantly rely on classroom lectures, overlooking students 'diverse learning styles and failing to address varied educational needs. Third, homogeneous evaluation systems overemphasize exam scores as the sole criterion, neglecting students' unique talents and comprehensive qualities, resulting in phenomena like "high scores but low practical skills" and "uniformity across students." This overly uniform educational model severely stifles individual development and hinders the cultivation of innovative, practice-oriented talents with high-quality competencies.

3.2. One-sided pursuit of individual development at the expense of overall interests

With the advancement of quality-oriented education and the updating of educational philosophies, some educators have begun to emphasize individualized education. However, in practice, they have swung to the opposite extreme, excessively pursuing students' individual development while neglecting the collective interests.

3.3. Uneven allocation of educational resources exacerbates the imbalance between the two

The uneven distribution of educational resources stands as a key factor contributing to the imbalance between individual education and systemic education. On one hand, significant disparities exist across regions, between urban and rural areas, and among schools. High-quality educational resources are disproportionately concentrated in developed regions, cities, and elite institutions, while underdeveloped areas, rural communities, and ordinary schools suffer from relative resource scarcity. This disparity leaves some students unable to access equitable educational opportunities or personalized services, exacerbating systemic educational inequities. On the other hand, even within schools, resource allocation remains irrational. Many institutions allocate excessive resources to cultivating a small group of "top students" while neglecting the developmental needs of the majority, further intensifying the imbalance between individualized education and holistic educational outcomes.

3.4. Disconnection between educational philosophy and practice, with lack of collaborative mechanisms

Most educators recognize the importance of individualized education and holistic education, yet in practice, the lack of scientific pedagogical guidance and effective collaborative mechanisms often hinders their organic integration. Some educators fail to grasp the dialectical relationship between these two approaches, either treating them as opposing concepts or simplistically equating them, resulting in inadequate systematic educational design and practical strategies. At the

institutional level, schools have not established effective coordination mechanisms, with departments lacking communication and collaboration. Key aspects such as curriculum design, teaching implementation, and evaluation systems remain disconnected, making it difficult to create synergistic forces that promote the coordinated development of individualized and holistic education.

4. Practical Approaches for Synergistic Development of Individual Education and Holistic Education

4.1. Establish a "people-oriented" educational philosophy and clarify the objectives of coordinated development

Educational philosophy serves as the soul of educational practice. To achieve coordinated development between individual education and holistic education, it is essential to establish a "people-oriented" educational philosophy and clarify the objectives of their synergistic development. Firstly, respecting students 'subjectivity by prioritizing their growth—focusing not only on comprehensive competency enhancement but also addressing individual developmental needs, ensuring every student gains a sense of belonging and achievement in education. Secondly, adhering to differentiated instruction by acknowledging student diversity, designing personalized educational plans based on psychological development patterns, interests, and aptitudes to provide ample space for individual growth. Thirdly, strengthening collective awareness by cultivating students' sense of group honor and social responsibility alongside individual development, guiding them to properly balance personal interests with collective goals, thereby achieving organic integration of individual progress and collective advancement.

4.2. Establishing a Curriculum System Integrating Differentiation and Systematization

The curriculum system serves as the core framework for achieving coordinated development between individualized education and holistic education, requiring the establishment of a differentiated and systematic curriculum structure. First, optimize national curricula by ensuring compliance with national standards while making appropriate adjustments and supplements based on students 'actual conditions and regional characteristics to enhance curriculum relevance and practicality. Second, enrich school-based curricula by developing diverse programs tailored to institutional strengths and student interests, including science innovation, arts and sports, and humanities education, providing personalized course options. Third, implement differentiated instruction by grouping students into levels according to their learning abilities and developmental stages during core curriculum teaching, adopting tailored teaching objectives, content, and methods to meet the needs of high-achieving students while helping struggling learners keep pace. Fourth, strengthen curriculum integration by breaking down disciplinary barriers through interdisciplinary teaching, fostering students' comprehensive

thinking and innovative capabilities, while promoting collaboration among students of different levels and interests to elevate overall educational quality.

4.3. Innovative and Diversified Teaching Methods and Evaluation Mechanisms

Teaching methodologies and evaluation mechanisms serve as crucial safeguards for promoting coordinated development between individualized education and holistic education, requiring continuous innovation and optimization. Regarding instructional approaches: First, implement personalized teaching through heuristic methods, inquiry-based learning, and discussion-based strategies to fully engage students 'initiative and enthusiasm. Provide tailored instructional guidance based on learners' individual styles and developmental needs. Second, adopt collaborative learning by organizing students into study groups to complete tasks collectively, thereby cultivating teamwork skills and communication abilities while enabling students with diverse personalities and capabilities to learn from each other and progress collaboratively. Third, leverage modern educational technologies such as artificial intelligence and big data to build smart education platforms. These systems deliver customized learning resources and pathways for precision teaching, while data analysis enables comprehensive understanding of student learning patterns to inform evidence-based educational decision-making.

4.4. Regarding evaluation mechanisms, three key approaches are implemented: First, establishing a diversified assessment framework that moves beyond single-focused exam performance evaluation.

This system incorporates students 'moral cultivation, academic achievements, individual talents, and practical competencies, employing a combination of quantitative and qualitative assessments alongside formative and summative evaluations to holistically evaluate student development. Second, implementing personalized evaluation standards tailored to each student's unique characteristics and developmental goals, with a focus on tracking progress and growth while encouraging students to leverage their strengths for individualized development. Third, enhancing motivational functions through positive feedback mechanisms that boost learning motivation and confidence, while guiding students to accurately recognize their strengths and weaknesses, clarify development directions, and ultimately promote collective progress at both individual and group levels.

4.5. Optimize the allocation of educational resources to ensure the foundation for coordinated development

Optimizing the allocation of educational resources serves as the material foundation for achieving coordinated development between individual education and holistic education. Effective measures must be implemented to promote balanced distribution and rational utilization of educational resources. First, increasing educational investment is essential to narrow disparities in educational resources

across regions, between urban and rural areas, and among schools. This includes improving educational facilities in underdeveloped regions, rural areas, and ordinary schools to ensure equal educational opportunities for all students. Second, coordinating high-quality educational resources through strategies such as establishing branch campuses of prestigious schools, teacher rotation programs, and online education platforms will facilitate resource sharing, enabling more students to access premium educational services. Third, optimizing internal school resource allocation—rational distribution of faculty, facilities, and equipment—should balance personalized teaching needs with overall educational requirements while avoiding resource wastage and imbalances. Fourth, encouraging social participation in education by guiding enterprises and social organizations to invest in educational initiatives will enrich resource supply and provide stronger support for the synergistic development of individualized and comprehensive education systems.

4.6. Conclusion

Individualized education and holistic education are two complementary and dialectically unified aspects within the educational system. Their coordinated development represents an inevitable trend in modern education and serves as a core requirement for achieving high-quality educational outcomes. Current educational practices, however, face challenges such as excessive emphasis on uniformity, one-sided pursuit of individual development, uneven distribution of educational resources, and lack of collaborative mechanisms, which significantly hinder the improvement of educational quality and students' comprehensive development. Therefore, it is essential to adopt a "people-oriented" educational philosophy, establish a curriculum system that integrates differentiation with systematic approaches, innovate diversified teaching methods and evaluation mechanisms, optimize resource allocation, and create multi-stakeholder collaborative governance frameworks to achieve organic integration of individualized and holistic education.

References

[1] Ye Lan. Introduction to Education [M]. Beijing: People's Education Press, 2006:123-128.

[2] Lu Jie. Sociology of Education [M]. Beijing: People's Education Press, 2005:89-95.

[3] Zhong Qiquan. Curriculum and Instruction Theory [M]. Shanghai: East China Normal University Press, 2018:201-207.

[4] Zhu Yongxin. The Dream of New Education [M]. Beijing: People's Education Press, 2014:156-162.

[5] Wang Daojun, Guo Wen 'an. Pedagogy [M]. Beijing: People's Education Press, 2016:189-195.

[6] Li Zhengtao. Educational Anthropology [M]. Shanghai: East China Normal University Press, 2019:112-118.

[7] Chu Hongqi. The dialectical relationship between educational equity and educational efficiency [J]. Educational Research, 2008(6):28-33.

[8] Yang Dongping. Theory and Practice of Educational Equity[J]. Educational Research,

2010(10):3-9.

[9] Ding Haidong. The connotation, value and practical approaches of personalized education [J]. Educational Development Research, 2015(10):45-50.

[10] Liu Tiefang. The dialectical unity of holistic education and individual education [J]. Higher Education Research, 2017(3):1-7.