Educational and Humanities
ISSN Print: 3104-4352
ISSN Online: 3104-4360
CD ISSN: 3104-4379
https://doi.org/10.63313/EH.9111

Reconstruction of Historical Narrative in Neil Gaiman's *The Graveyard Book*

Sibin Li
School of Foreign Languages, Yangtze University, Jingzhou, 434023, China
Email: 1732033197@qq.com

How to cite this paper: Li, S. (2026). Reconstruction of Historical Narrative in Neil Gaiman's The Graveyard Book. Educational and Humanities, 4(3), 1–11. ISSN Print: 3104-4352, ISSN Online: 3104-4360.
https://doi.org/10.63313/EH.9111
Published: 2026-09-04

Copyright © 2026 by author(s) and Erytis Publishing Limited.
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
CC BY 4.0

Abstract

Neil Gaiman's *The Graveyard Book* centers on Nobody Owens, an orphan raised by supernatural inhabitants of a graveyard. Beyond conventional children's fantasy and Gothic fiction, the novel integrates folk narratives, spatial memory, and fragmented histories to reconsider the past. Grounded in Linda Hutcheon's theory of historiographic metafiction, this study employs close textual reading and narratological analysis to examine how Gaiman reconstructs historical experience through narrative form. The research analyzes three key dimensions: the reworking of traditional narrative materials, spatial memory within the graveyard, and nonlinear narration. The study finds that traditional figures—witches, ghosts, and vampires—are transformed into individualized narrative subjects with distinct histories. Meanwhile, the graveyard operates as a narrative space where gravestones, ghosts, and communal bonds transmit personal and collective memory. Additionally, structural non-linearity disrupts chronological continuity, requiring readers to actively assemble fragmented historical accounts. Ultimately, The novel presents history not as a fixed record, but as an interpretive process reconstructed through narrative, space, and memory—extending the coming-of-age arc into a broader reflection on historical knowledge and identity formation.

Keywords

The Graveyard Book; Historical Reconstruction; Historical Memory; Nonlinear Narration; Narratology

1. Introduction

Neil Gaiman's fiction blends established narrative traditions with modern storytelling. The Graveyard Book (2008) begins with a paradoxical premise: after his family's murder, infant Nobody Owens is raised by graveyard inhabitants. The novel reverses conventional assumptions—transforming a space of death into a home and turning terrifying ghosts into guardians and family. An orphaned child thus acquires belonging among the dead. The novel's fantastic quality derives not merely from supernatural presence, but from transforming the meanings attached

to familiar narrative spaces and forms.

Existing research on The Graveyard Book spans children's literature, Gothic conventions, identity, and narrative style. Giovanelli argues that the chapters reflect Bod's developmental stages under the influence of The Jungle Book[5], while Robertson observes how Gaiman's reworking of Kipling reconsiders childhood, identity, and imperial narrative[11]. These studies demonstrate that the novel is not a self-contained fantasy, but a text in sustained dialogue with earlier literary traditions. Yet interpreting these relationships merely as intertextual references fails to explain how the novel connects death, memory, and childhood development. The graveyard is no mere backdrop; it preserves past traces, structures present relationships, and anticipates the protagonist's future. Ghosts function not as Gothic tropes, but as historical subjects bringing the past into the present. By unifying diverse eras within a single spatial environment, the novel presents the past as an active realm to be encountered, interpreted, and inherited.

Hutcheon's historiographic metafiction underscores that the past is accessible only through narrative representation. Hayden White similarly argues that historical meaning relies on narrative organization. Events do not naturally arrive with complete plots, fixed causal relations, or unified meanings; selection, arrangement, connection, and emplotment participate in producing historical intelligibility[14]. This perspective illuminates how The Graveyard Book transforms past fragments into coherent narratives for the protagonist.

Pierre Nora's concept clarifies the graveyard's role. When living memory can no longer be transmitted naturally within everyday life, concrete sites, monuments, objects, and rituals can assume the task of preserving the past[9]. Furthermore, Halbwachs's theory of collective memory adds another dimension by emphasizing that memory is maintained within social relationships and collective frameworks rather than existing as an isolated mental possession[6]. Bod thus acquires historical understanding through the graveyard community's shared relationships.

Reconstructing historical meaning also entails shifting semiotic contexts. Barthes's semiotic approach draws attention to the fact that signs acquire meaning within particular systems and contexts rather than possessing completely fixed meanings[1]. Gaiman recodes the graveyard from a Gothic space of danger into a site of protection, transforming ghosts into teachers and historical witnesses to alter how the past is perceived.

Existing scholarship provides a strong foundation. Giovanelli examines the construction of the child reader through cognitive stylistics and text-world theory, arguing that the opening of the novel uses spatial organization and narrative perspective to establish a particular reading position[5]. Chang approaches the novel from the perspective of identity and emphasizes the significance of the name Nobody Owens and the uncertainty surrounding Bod's identity[2]. Yet, these studies leave unexamined how these elements jointly construct historical meaning.

Specifically, folklore rewritings, spatial memory, temporal gaps, and historical voices are often fragmented across separate frameworks—such as intertextuality, spatial studies, or coming-of-age narratives—leaving their internal relationships underexplored. Centering on the reconstruction of historical narrative, this study investigates how these distinct phenomena collectively reshape representations of the past. In doing so, it extends scholarship on the novel's spatial organization, which have already demonstrated that space has an important role in shaping Bod's development and the reader's understanding of the text[5].

On this basis, the study addresses three questions. First, how does The Graveyard Book reorganize traditional materials from folklore, classic children's literature, and Gothic narrative, and how do intertextual transformation, parody, and semiotic reconstruction give these materials new narrative functions? Second, after traditional narrative materials have been recoded, how does the construction of the graveyard place characters, life experiences, and memories from different historical periods within a shared narrative space, allowing space to mediate Bod's encounter with the past? Third, beyond spatial juxtaposition, how does the novel use temporal shifts, changes in narrative order, delayed information, and multiple perspectives to reorganize the presentation of historical events and produce multiple rather than singular historical perspectives?

Methodologically, this study combines close textual reading with narratological analysis. It first examines the relationship between the novel and The Jungle Book, folklore, and Gothic traditions, focusing on the transformation of inherited narrative materials and the resulting changes in meaning. It then analyzes the spatial structure of the graveyard, including gravestones, boundaries, paths, and character activity, in order to clarify how space participates in the preservation of historical memory and the education of identity. A further stage examines narrative time, including temporal order, duration, chapter structure, and information distribution, in order to determine how nonlinear narration affects the reader's understanding of the past. Finally, the study brings historiographic metafiction, collective memory, and semiotic theory into dialogue with these textual observations.

2. The Reconstruction of Traditional Narrative Materials

The postmodern character of The Graveyard Book first becomes visible in its treatment of inherited narrative materials. Gaiman does not attempt to create a completely unfamiliar fantasy world from nothing. Instead, he draws extensively on story types, character archetypes, and symbolic forms already familiar to readers, and then gives them new functions through changes of setting, character relations, and symbolic meaning. Genette's discussion of textual relations emphasizes that later texts do not simply reproduce earlier texts but may establish complex relationships through imitation, transformation, parody, and rewriting[4]. Kristeva's account of intertextuality similarly understands the text as a site in which other

texts are absorbed and transformed[7]. The significance of The Graveyard Book therefore lies less in the number of legends it uses than in the way those legends are repositioned after entering the modern novel.

The most obvious intertextual relationship is with Rudyard Kipling's The Jungle Book. Both Nobody Owens and Mowgli are children who grow outside ordinary human society. Both depend on nontraditional communities for protection and education, and both must learn rules different from those governing the society into which they were born. Gaiman replaces the jungle with the graveyard and transforms animal mentors into ghosts, a vampire, and other supernatural inhabitants. This is not merely a change of scenery. Kipling's jungle carries meanings associated with natural order and the colonial imagination, whereas Gaiman's graveyard is a space in which death, social memory, and communal belonging intersect. The same narrative pattern of a child being raised by a nonhuman community consequently acquires a different historical and ethical function. Robertson notes that Gaiman's riff on The Jungle Book reintroduces questions of identity and value into a contemporary reading environment[11], while Giovanelli confirms the structural correspondences between the two works[5].

The reconstruction of folklore is particularly visible in the treatment of supernatural figures, which are transformed from terrifying monsters into entities with concrete life histories and individual memories. Liza Hempstock provides a clear example: she is not merely a Gothic trope, but a woman with a personal history and an emotional claim to recognition. When she first meets Bod, she emphasizes her name and the absence of a gravestone that would mark her identity. She asks to be remembered as Liza Hempstock and complains that there is nothing at her resting place except nettles[3]. This detail transforms her from a folkloric stereotype into an individual concerned with retaining a named identity after death.

Liza's identity is further developed through her own account of being accused of witchcraft. Entering Bod's consciousness through her own voice rather than external summary, a traditionally judged figure becomes a historical subject narrating her own past. Gaiman thus transforms folkloric typology into a vehicle for agency and individual memory.

Silas similarly recombines traditional Gothic types with complex modern functions. As a vampire situated on the threshold of life and death, he serves as an intermediary bridging distinct temporal and spatial systems. At one point he explicitly tells Bod, "You're alive, Bod," and explains that being alive means retaining the possibility of changing the world, creating things, and realizing possibilities, whereas death marks the end of such possibilities[3]. This statement underscores Silas's liminality: while the ghosts represent completed historical lives and Bod remains in a state of becoming, Silas navigates both spheres. Moving between the dead and the contemporary world, he establishes a critical narrative connection between past and present.

This dynamic can also be contextualized through theories of fantasy. Todorov defines the fantastic through the hesitation produced when a reader confronts an apparently inexplicable event[13]. The Graveyard Book, however, constructs a stable supernatural order where reader interest shifts from questioning supernatural reality to evaluating how it produces meaning within the fictional world. Mendlesohn's discussion of the rhetoric of fantasy likewise indicates that fantasy narratives shape reception through world-building, modes of entry, and relationships between characters and their worlds[8]. The novel realizes this through the graveyard—a simultaneously bounded and permeable space that enables the reader to accept a social order maintained by the dead.

While intertextuality addresses where inherited materials originate, semiotic reconstruction determines their meaning within a new textual system. Barthes's semiotic approach helps explain this transformation[1]. Initially associated with death, mourning, and fear, Gaiman's graveyard simultaneously signifies safety, family, education, and memory. Its meaning shifts through relationships among gravestones, ghosts, and Bod's daily routine. Once assuming familial and educational functions, the death-space is reinterpreted through a new system of relations.

Ghosts undergo a comparable transformation. Rather than functioning as Gothic agents of terror, they represent individuals with historical experience whose clothing, speech, and memories embody distinct eras. Ghosts thus act as both supernatural and historical signs. Though deceased, their experiences actively inform Bod's development, transforming history from static book knowledge into living, transmissible experience.

The novel also reconstructs meaning through names. The name "Nobody" carries a double significance: denoting social anonymity while evolving into the identifier of an individual with a distinct history. Identity is thus formed through naming, memory, and relationships. Chang's study of identity in the novel emphasizes the importance of the name Nobody Owens and the uncertainty surrounding Bod's identity. Bod does not automatically acquire a stable identity merely by learning the circumstances of his birth. He gradually understands who he is, where he comes from, and where he may go through education within the graveyard community[2]. The transformation from nobody into a person with a name and history demonstrates how historical memory enters individual life.

Reworking folklore and transforming signs thus function as a continuous process. Gaiman invokes familiar narratives, then alters character functions, spatial relations, and symbolic meanings to unlock new interpretations. Postmodern historical narration does not reject the past; instead, it refuses to treat history as fixed, allowing it to continually generate meaning through repeated narration, reorganization, and renaming.

3. The Graveyard Space and the Representation of Historical Memory

This chapter examines how historical periods, memories, and identities acquire meaning through spatial juxtaposition in the graveyard. As the central narrative setting of The Graveyard Book, the graveyard functions through Pierre Nora's concept of memory sites. A site of memory is not simply a place in which the past is stored; it is a location through which the past remains visible and accessible[9]. Physical elements like gravestones, paths, and boundaries preserve material traces of vanished lives, which ghosts subsequently reanimate through narrative voice.

With gravestones from diverse eras standing side by side, the stratified graveyard forms a spatial historical map. Imaginative navigation through this setting compresses time, allowing readers to encounter disparate historical periods without following a strict chronological archive. By unifying centuries within a single geographical location, Gaiman transforms history from a continuous timeline into a spatially readable structure. This spatial organization differentiates the novel from conventional historical narration, shifting the focus from detailing past occurrences to determining which pasts remain materially and narratively present.

Gravestones serve as direct historical signs within this spatial framework. Inscriptions compress individual lives into limited material indices, while ghosts restore narrative dimensions to what was compressed. Far from being mere markers of death, gravestones become Bod's initial media for encountering history. When Bod asks about a name on a gravestone, Silas realizes that the child has not yet learned to read and begins teaching him through the inscriptions[3]. The gravestone thus functions as a primary educational medium in the protagonist's development.

Liza's story reinforces this function. Because she has no gravestone, she fears that her identity may disappear: "Might be anybody. Mightn't I?" She asks Bod to find something that can mark her grave because there is "with nothing but nettles to show where I rest"[3]. Gravestones and ghosts thus form a complementary pair preserving memory. This relationship corresponds closely to Nora's understanding of the site of memory as a place in which memory is simultaneously material, symbolic, and functional[9]. The graveyard performs these functions by protecting and educating the living. After Bod receives the Freedom of the Graveyard, Silas tells him, "You were given the Freedom of the Graveyard, after all. So the Graveyard is taking care of you"[3].

The graveyard boundary marks a developmental transition. Scarlett tells him that "you can't stay here all your life" and insists that he will eventually have to live in the outside world, while Bod responds that the outside world is not safe for him[3]. Later, when Bod asks Silas whether he can remain in the graveyard forever, Silas replies, "Everything in its season"[3]. Leaving means bringing acquired historical knowledge into ordinary life. The intermediate quality of this space can be interpreted through fantasy theory. Mendlesohn notes that fantasy space does not necessarily constitute a simple escape from reality; different forms of fantasy

reorganize the reader's understanding of reality through relationships between ordinary and fantastic spaces[8]. The cemetery boundary remains permeable. The narrator describes Silas as someone who knows the outside world because he encounters "a world that was current, not hundreds of years out of date"[3]. Silas continuously brings contemporary experience into a space composed largely of the past, transforming the graveyard into a transitional zone connecting distinct eras.

From the perspective of collective memory, the ghosts constitute a community. Halbwachs argues that memory is maintained and confirmed through social relationships and collective frameworks; individuals remember within particular social structures rather than in complete isolation[6]. Bod's development exemplifies this process, as he learns letters from gravestones and manners, combat, reading, and supernatural skills from different ghosts[3]. His growth represents a gradual entry into active historical memory produced through social interaction. Taylor's study of guardianship and Gothic elements similarly emphasizes that the supernatural figures who care for Bod establish a form of guardianship different from conventional family structures, making the graveyard a community of knowledge, emotional support, and identity[12].

The graveyard preserves marginal histories, placing ordinary lives at the center of representation. Figures from varied backgrounds form a community of ordinary individuals traditionally omitted from political narratives. Her concern demonstrates the relationship between remembrance and material markers of identity[3].

Opreanu's study of space and identity in Gaiman's fiction likewise suggests that architecture and landscape do not merely provide settings but participate in the formation of identity[10]. Bod's identity is formed through interaction with space and the histories attached to it.

However, spatial memory remains selective rather than complete. Without a material sign capable of identifying her, her existence risks being reduced to an uncertain past[3]. Incomplete stories and missing markers expose historical memory's inherent gaps. Halbwachs emphasizes that memory is reorganized within social frameworks and is consequently affected by present relationships[6]. The graveyard thus dynamically produces historical meaning through relationships among the dead and Bod.

Ultimately, the graveyard functions as both material representation and narrative medium. By unifying distinct temporal layers, spatial organization allows fragmented historical experiences to remain open to interpretation while preparing the novel for chronological disruption.

4. Nonlinear Historical Narrative and Multiple Historical Perspectives

History in The Graveyard Book is reconstructed through temporal organization as

well as space. Rather than following a strict chronological coming-of-age sequence, the novel uses relatively independent chapters with varying temporal spans. Significant gaps between chapters require readers to reconstruct temporal relationships.

This nonlinear structure transforms growth into an accumulation of memory. Bod acquires knowledge piecemeal across different life stages, making the reader's understanding parallel his own developmental journey.

Genette's theory of narrative time helps describe this structure. Story time and discourse time are not identical, and narration can reorganize the presentation of events through omission, pause, analepsis, and prolepsis[4]. By omitting daily routines and selecting key developmental milestones, the novel presents a sequence of meaningful points rather than a complete childhood chronicle.

Temporal absence functions as a key narrative strategy. Readers must recognize that omitted moments mean historical experience is inherently incomplete, demonstrating that telling a story does not render the past entirely transparent. This structure mirrors historical memory, which selects and reorganizes fragments based on present needs. Halbwachs argues that memory is reconstructed within present social frameworks rather than preserved unchanged[6]. Consequently, ghost stories emerge not chronologically, but when specific questions render those pasts meaningful to Bod's development.

Liza's evolving narrative illustrates how information gains significance as the protagonist matures. Initially creating Gothic mystery, her history gradually becomes a profound reflection on identity, naming, and remembrance. History is thus presented not as a fixed archive, but as a dynamic structure reorganized through changing subject positions.

The chapter structure produces a juxtaposed, fragmented history. Distinct chapters emphasizing growth, adventure, community, or the outside world retain relative independence while contributing to Bod's overall development. Omitted temporal intervals between chapters allow individual episodes to stand alone, requiring readers to connect these fragments to comprehend his life. As related characters or events reappear later, readers can re-evaluate earlier occurrences. This structure places readers in a condition of incomplete knowledge, confronting scattered memories and narrative clues rather than an organized archive. Reading thus becomes an act of historical reconstruction: readers infer omitted events from temporal relationships, repeatedly revising their understanding. The past is not delivered as a complete answer, but assembled through the reader's active participation.

Nonlinear narration changes how history appears, emerging through omission, temporal shifts, and gradual information release rather than chronological sequence. Historical knowledge becomes provisional and open-ended. By altering narrative order, the novel requires readers to participate in constructing meaning, treating

history as an interpretive process shaped by diverse memories and subject positions. Nonlinear narration also reshapes causality, challenging linear determinism to render the past-present relationship open-ended. Bod's development is determined not by a single event, but by the accumulated influence of figures across different eras. Each ghost contributes knowledge, transforming history from a linear road into a network of multiple experiences.

At this point, Hutcheon's theory of historiographic metafiction and White's theory of historical narration can be read together. Hutcheon emphasizes the self-reflexive and constructed character of historical representation, while White emphasizes the role of narrative form in shaping historical meaning[14]. The novel's nonlinear structure demonstrates that historical continuity is itself produced by organizing scattered events, showing how narrative form alters historical intelligibility.

Additionally, the novel generates multiple historical perspectives because the ghosts do not share a single, unified past. Instead, each ghost remembers a distinct life, period, and death. Bakhtin's polyphonic framework helps clarify this feature, as historical complexity arises from the coexistence of unverified, irreducible voices.

More importantly, Bod does not absorb these voices without judgment, transforming historical education into a training in interpretation rather than mere transmission. He learns to navigate competing versions of the past, directly shaping his identity. Upon leaving, he carries practical memories and interpretative frameworks provided by the community rather than a fixed historical system.

Bod's departure represents an open ending for historical memory. Leaving prevents preserved memory from becoming a static relic, requiring the past to enter a new form of life. History does not end upon leaving the site of memory; it enters a new narrative stage as memory is carried into new contexts.

Nonlinear structure increases reader participation by requiring readers to connect fragmented narrative points. Giovanelli's study of the construction of the child reader shows that narrative perspective and linguistic arrangement guide readers toward particular positions of understanding. Nonlinear structure strengthens this demand by turning readers into assemblers of information. Reading is no longer simply the reception of a story in sequence; it becomes a repeated reorganization of what has already been learned[5].

In conclusion, nonlinear narration in The Graveyard Book serves historical reconstruction. Temporal shifts and chapter juxtaposition weaken chronological authority, presenting history as open to interpretation and shifting the inquiry from what history is to how history can be known.

5. Conclusion

A reconsideration of The Graveyard Book demonstrates that its postmodern historical narration emerges from intertextual reconstruction, semiotic transformation, spatial organization, and nonlinear temporality. Gaiman redefines

Gothic and folkloric traditions, transforming the graveyard into a site of memory where ghosts act as historical witnesses. Spatially, the cemetery compresses distinct eras into material traces. Temporally, narrative gaps interrupt chronology, presenting history not as a ready-made answer but as an interpretive process constructed from fragments.

The novel's primary intervention concerns how history is conceptualized and narrated. Theories from Hutcheon, Nora, Halbwachs, and White demonstrate that memory requires spatial sites and narrative organization rather than enabling complete recovery. Gaiman shows that historical meaning demands ongoing interpretation rather than arbitrary fantasy. Giving voice to marginalized figures, the narrative establishes an open relationship among tradition, experience, and invention, culminating in Bod's departure—a transition proving that memory becomes meaningful when carried by an individual subject into new contexts.

Ultimately, The Graveyard Book serves as both a coming-of-age narrative and a reflection on historical representation, releasing the past from grand linear accounts through spatial traces and plural voices. This expands the possibilities of fantasy literature regarding memory and identity. This study, however, is limited to text-based analysis of a single novel without comparative or empirical reception data. Future research could utilize interdisciplinary approaches to analyze historical reconstruction across broader contemporary fantasy.

References

[1] Barthes, R. (1967). Elements of Semiology, trans. Annette Lavers and Colin Smith (New York: Hill and Wang, 1968), 14.

[2] Chang, T. C. (2015). I am nobody: fantasy and identity in Neil Gaiman's "The Graveyard Book". Journal of English Studies, (13), 7-18.

[3] Gaiman, N. (2008). The Graveyard Book. HarperCollins.

[4] Genette, G. (1997). Palimpsests: Literature in the second degree (Vol. 8). U of Nebraska Press.

[5] Giovanelli, M. (2018). Construing the child reader: A cognitive stylistic analysis of the opening to Neil Gaiman's The Graveyard Book. Children's Literature in Education, 49(2), 180-195.

[6] Halbwachs, M., & Coser, L. A. (1992). On collective memory (Vol. 10). Chicago: University of Chicago press.

[7] Kristeva, J. (1980). Desire in language: A semiotic approach to literature and art. Columbia University Press.

[8] Mendlesohn, F. (2008). Rhetorics of fantasy. Wesleyan University Press.

[9] Nora, P. (1989). Between memory and history: Les lieux de mémoire. representations, 7-24.

[10] Opreanu, L. (2018). Others, Doubles, Mirrors, Selves: Scavenged Identities and Doppelgänger Spaces in Neil Gaiman's Coraline, Neverwhere, and The Graveyard Book. Analele Universității Ovidius din Constanța, 29(1), 40–51.

[11] Robertson, C. (2011). I want to be like you: Riffs on Kipling in Neil Gaiman's The Graveyard Book. Children's Literature Association Quarterly, 36(2), 164–189.

[12] Taylor, K. (2025). Monstrous guardians: Parenthood and the Gothic in Neil Gaiman's The Graveyard Book. Children's Literature, 53, 1–19.

[13] Todorov, T. (1975). The fantastic: A structural approach to a literary genre. Cornell University Press.

[14] White, H. (1987). The content of the form: Narrative discourse and historical representation. Johns Hopkins University Press.