Education and Social Work
ISSN Print: 3079-515X
ISSN Online: 3079-5168
https://doi.org/10.63313/ESW.9165

# Exploring New Teaching Way: Integrating the Silent Way with Artificial Intelligence

**XinYao Wang**
School of Foreign Language, China West Normal University, Nan Chong, 637000, China
Email: 178966146@qq.com

**How to cite this paper:** Wang, X. (2026). Exploring New Teaching Way: Integrating the Silent Way with Artificial Intelligence. Education and Social Work, 5(2), 16–23. ISSN Print: 3079-515X, ISSN Online: 3079-5168.
https://doi.org/10.63313/ESW.9165
**Published: 2026-08-03**

Copyright © 2026 by author(s) and Erytis Publishing Limited.
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

## Abstract

This paper discusses the integration of the Silent Way and Artificial Intelligence (AI) in language teaching. The Silent Way is a learner-centered pedagogical approach that emphasizes minimal teacher intervention and encourages students' intrinsic motivation. As AI technologies such as intelligent feedback, speech recognition, and adaptive learning become more prevalent in education, there is increasing interest in their potential to enhance traditional teaching models. However, effectively embedding AI into classrooms without compromising learner autonomy remains a significant challenge. This paper analyzes the philosophical and practical compatibility between the Silent Way and AI through conceptual discussion and proposes that the Silent Way may serve as a humanistic corrective to the over-mechanization of AI teaching. The study offers strategic suggestions for integrating AI tools in ways that preserve learner independence while enhancing pedagogical flexibility.

## Keywords

Silent Way; Artificial Intelligence; Language Education; Educational Innovation

## 1. Introduction

Language teaching has evolved through diverse methodologies, ranging from Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) to technology-driven hybrid instruction. The Silent Way, introduced by Caleb Gattegno in the 1970s, promotes learner discovery through minimal verbal input from teachers. In China, the Silent Way is not a popular teaching method because of the high demands of teachers and teaching materials. However, AI has emerged as a disruptive force in language education, providing instant pronunciation correction, grammar feedback, and personalized learning recommendations. With AI's help, the Silent Way can be adapted into an effective way for teachers to choose in English class.

## 2. Core Principles of the Silent Way

The Silent Way, established by Caleb Gattegno in 1962, belongs to a foreign language teaching paradigm that emphasizes humanistic educational concepts, and is clearly distinguishable from the Situational Language Teaching, the Audiolingual Method, and Communicative Language Teaching that were popular in the twentieth century. The formation of the Silent Way integrates research findings from multiple fields of education and psychology. Song Yang's(2019) supplementary research notes that the Silent Way originated in the United States in the 1960s; its founder Gattegno had previously worked as a mathematics teacher. The complete set of colored teaching aids is the hallmark tool that distinguishes this method from other approaches, as it can transform abstract phonetics and grammatical rules into intuitive visual symbols, thereby reducing the difficulty for students to understand language knowledge .

At the level of core teaching philosophy, the Silent Way places students' autonomous cognitive activities at the center of the entire teaching process. Chen Hong(2013) indicated that Inquiry tasks with problem-solving attributes can effectively promote deeper development in second language acquisition. Existing research indicates that a quiet thinking environment is an important condition for achieving deep learning; teachers' uninterrupted oral repetition distracts students and consumes learning energy. Reserving sufficient silent thinking time in class can help learners concentrate, organize their cognitive logic, and build a stable and complete knowledge-memory system through autonomous reflection. Language memory formed through autonomous thinking is better retained, and sustained independent reflection is also key to awakening inner learning awareness. Long-term autonomous exploration helps learners establish their own language evaluation criteria, enabling them to self-monitor and self-correct language errors, ultimately achieving the long-term teaching goal of cultivating students' autonomous learning ability. Chen Mingzhu(2016) points out that teachers no longer play the role of one-way knowledge transmitters, but instead become classroom activity planners, class order managers, and indirect guides of students' learning thinking. During instruction, teachers need to consciously control their amount of speech, and as students' language proficiency continues to improve, they should progressively reduce classroom verbal output, diminishing repetitive demonstrations, lengthy theoretical explanations, and direct error correction. Song Yang further refines the practical guidelines for teachers, proposing that teachers should reduce oral explanations in class, design more learning tasks with room for exploration, reserve sufficient opportunities for students to use the target language, and rely on task-driven motivation to stimulate students' intrinsic drive for active learning. On the learners' side, they must fully assume personal responsibility for their own learning: before class, they should preview independently using the learning resources provided by the teacher and sort out difficult points encountered in the process.

Compared with mainstream 20th-century foreign language teaching approaches, the Silent Way boasts distinctive strengths. Situational Language Teaching and the Audiolingual Method are teacher-centered: teachers dominate class progress and rely on oral modeling and mechanical drills, limiting students' independent thinking and self-expression and making learners heavily reliant on teachers. Communicative Language Teaching prioritizes classroom interaction and practical communicative competence, yet extensive teacher explanation and instant intervention occupy much class time, leaving insufficient room for students' in-depth thinking. In contrast, the Silent Way adheres to student-centered principles, cutting redundant mechanical drills and facilitating knowledge internalization via independent critical thinking. It develops learners' linguistic competence as well as logical thinking and problem-solving abilities, and its error-tolerant classroom atmosphere relieves students' language learning anxiety. Though not a mainstream foreign language teaching method today, the Silent Way carries remarkable theoretical value. It challenges the conventional assumption that more teacher talk yields better teaching outcomes and matches China's college English teaching reform emphasizing student agency. Its ideas offer practical strategies to improve the efficiency of oral English instruction and enrich the theoretical basis of flipped classroom practice. Its core notions of independent exploration and "less teaching, more learning" can be adapted to diverse English teaching contexts and provide fresh perspectives for modern foreign language teaching research

## 3. Current Applications and Strengths of AI in Language Learning

Driven by national digital education strategies and generative AI innovations, AI has formed a comprehensive support system covering teaching design, student training and curriculum resource development for college English teaching, mitigating many drawbacks of conventional language classrooms. Yang (2023) points out that unified lecture-based teaching fails to satisfy students' diverse needs amid varied language proficiency, whereas AI tools eliminate the one-size-fits-all limitation through data-based personalized instruction. Synthesizing Luo (2024) and Wang Qiang (2024), AI's practical values in second language acquisition fall into three interrelated dimensions: teaching assistance for educators, personalized training for learners, and multimodal transformation of teaching materials.

From teachers' perspective, AI acts as a digital assistant reshaping teachers' work and classroom roles. Yang (2023) notes corpus analysis and big data help teachers efficiently identify students' common linguistic weaknesses and design targeted differentiated teaching activities. Large language models automatically generate multimodal teaching materials such as reading passages, oral dialogues and writing samples, reducing teachers' repetitive compiling work and freeing time for pedagogical reflection and humanistic interaction. Luo (2024) adds that AI can build a unit-centered intelligent training system for listening, speaking, reading and

writing: it produces graded reading materials, simulates immersive oral scenarios, and provides instant writing feedback including error correction, revision advice and model essays. Furthermore, intelligent platforms record learning trajectories and enable automated formative assessment to ease teachers' grading workload. Teachers are thus transformed from knowledge lecturers into digital learning guides, guiding students to evaluate AI-generated content critically and deliver cross-cultural education in human-AI collaborative learning to compensate for AI's lack of emotional and contextual understanding. Wang Qiang (2024) mentions that plain text information contributes little to knowledge retention; once converted into multimodal audio, visual and interactive forms by AI, linguistic knowledge can form stronger cognitive impressions for long-term memory, which demonstrates the advantages of AI multimodal tools for optimized knowledge presentation.

For learners, AI supplies continuous, low-pressure practice contexts to enhance language proficiency and autonomous learning, resolving the scarcity of one-on-one offline practice. It facilitates the training of four core language skills. AI speech recognition offers instant feedback on pronunciation, fluency and grammar during oral practice, creating a stress-free speaking environment (Luo, 2024). For reading and translation, adaptive materials matched with learners' level and interests are delivered with timely linguistic and cultural explanations. Automated writing tools detect lexical, syntactic and logical flaws to polish articles, strengthening students' writing confidence and self-correction awareness (Yang, 2023). More importantly, AI fosters self-regulated learning. Students can set personalized goals, raise targeted questions and adjust learning rhythms via multi-turn human-AI dialogue to build problem-oriented autonomous learning cycles. Gamified vocabulary tools and online competitions sustain learning motivation for after-class practice (Wang, 2024). Continuous interaction with AI also develops learners' critical thinking and intercultural awareness: students can construct intercultural communication scenarios to compare cultural differences, and learn to assess and revise machine output through repeated human-machine interaction.

In curriculum resource development, AI upgrades static printed textbooks to dynamic interactive multimodal resources, overcoming the limitation that traditional textbooks only suit average-level students. Yang (2023) states large language models can adjust textbook language according to themes, learners' proficiency and curriculum goals to balance authentic language norms and localized teaching demands. With learning big data, AI generates tiered listening, reading and writing tasks for students of different levels, breaking the fixed content of printed textbooks. Apart from differentiated tasks, AI combines texts, audio, virtual videos and interactive modules into connected digital knowledge networks, turning static textbook content into updatable visualized resources (Wang, 2024). Equipped with question banks, feedback modules and extended cultural readings, intelligent resource platforms build a closed learning loop covering preview, classroom

exploration and after-class review, integrating basic language training with cultural and ideological education (Luo, 2024).

## 4. Compatibility and Tensions between the Silent Way and AI

Recent research on digital EFL pedagogy has examined intersections between the Silent Way (Gattegno, 1972) and artificial intelligence tools. Rooted in learner-driven discovery and restrained teacher verbal intervention, this classic method aligns with core merits of adaptive AI learning systems, while structural contradictions exist in learning autonomy, human facilitation and cognitive pace (Yang, 2025; Chen, 2016). This section contrasts their synergies and conflicts to lay practical groundwork for integrated classroom design.

### 4.1. Points of Compatibility

The Silent Way's core principle restricts frequent teacher correction to preserve uninterrupted cognitive reflection, allowing students to build internal linguistic standards through self-detection (Song, 2019). This logic matches demand-triggered AI assistants, which operate as "silent learning partners" and only provide feedback upon active student requests rather than automatic pop-up prompts. Without constant external interruption, AI maintains the low-anxiety reflective environment central to constructivist language acquisition (Crompton et al., 2022).

Colour phonetic charts and Cuisenaire rods serve as non-verbal cognitive mediators in the Silent Way, helping learners decode abstract grammar and phonology without lengthy lectures (Song, 2019). Multimodal AI platforms can digitally replicate these physical props, generating drag-and-drop virtual colour modules and adjustable phonetic boards. Unlike static offline tools, AI visual resources automatically adapt complexity to individual proficiency levels (Luo, 2024).

Traditional Silent Way classrooms face practical limits: single instructors cannot deliver differentiated scaffolding for students with uneven learning speeds (Chen, 2016). Data-driven AI systems resolve this gap by tracking individual error patterns and task progress, assigning tiered discovery activities for fast and slow learners separately. This adaptive design retains student-centred values while eliminating one-size-fits-all whole-class instruction (Wang, 2024).

### 4.2. Points of Tension

The Silent Way demands extended silent self-reflection and peer error comparison before seeking external guidance, a procedure that strengthens independent problem-solving (Gattegno, 1972). However, instant AI feedback encourages shortcut behaviour: most students skip trial-and-error exploration and rely fully on machine corrections, treating algorithmic outputs as absolute authority (Yang, 2025). This bypasses the metacognitive training purpose of the Silent Way.

Within Silent Way frameworks, instructors act as quiet classroom conductors who

design discovery sequences, organize peer dialogue and deliver minimal non-verbal hints — a humanistic role irreplaceable by algorithms (Chen, 2016). Over-reliance on automated AI assessment shifts core classroom governance to machines, removing teachers' capacity to read student emotion and mediate collaborative reflection (Kushmar et al., 2022).

Deep linguistic cognition under the Silent Way depends on unhurried, sustained silent thinking after student output (Bao & Nguyen, 2026). In contrast, mainstream AI systems are engineered for real-time instant feedback, fragmenting long reflective windows and shifting classroom atmosphere toward superficial rapid Q&A cycles incompatible with slow inductive learning (Yang, 2025).

## 5. Integration Strategies and Pedagogical Recommendations

Balancing the above synergies and tensions requires coordinated technical adjustments and classroom guidelines, with two complete lesson scenarios illustrating implementable workflows.

First, platforms should embed delayed feedback locks that block AI evaluation until learners complete two rounds of independent self-checking, enforcing the Silent Way's priority of self-discovery (Rassaei, 2023). Second, dedicated modules simulating Cuisenaire rods and colour phonetic charts should be built into AI interfaces to sustain visual mediation continuity (Luo, 2024). Third, post-task metacognitive prompts can guide students to contrast their self-judgements with machine feedback, mitigating blind trust in algorithmic results (Claxton, 2014).

Teachers must retain full control of learning rhythm and silent thinking periods, positioning AI as an optional auxiliary rather than primary evaluator (Liando et al., 2024). Instructors should systematically train students in digital literacy, teaching them to identify AI's contextual limitations and follow the fixed workflow: silent independent exploration → peer correction → voluntary AI verification. This layered sequence prevents over-dependence and protects the Silent Way's core pedagogical goals (Crompton et al., 2022).

## 6. Conclusion

The Silent Way provides a humanistic foundation—valuing autonomy, visualization, and reflective learning—while AI offers efficiency and personalized support, addressing the method's practical limitations (e.g., scalability, consistent feedback).

Their integration requires intentional design: AI should delay feedback to preserve effortful learning, replicate Silent Way visual tools, and frame itself as an optional aid, not an authority. Teachers must retain roles as facilitators, using AI to enhance rather than replace guidance.

Challenges like ideological misalignment (AI's focus on speed vs. the Silent Way's emphasis on depth) and teacher training gaps must be addressed through purpose-built platforms and dual-expertise professional development.

Ultimately, this integration offers a balanced path: AI augments humanistic pedagogy, preserving the depth of learner discovery while leveraging technology to make effective language education more accessible. It reimagines classrooms where autonomy and technology coexist, fostering not just language proficiency, but lifelong learning skills.

## References


[1] Wang, Q. (2006). A Course in English Language Teaching (2nd ed.). Higher Education Press.

[2] Gattegno, C. (1972). Teaching foreign languages in schools: The Silent Way (2nd ed.). Educational Solutions.

[3] Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2001). Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

[4] Bao, D., & Nguyen, T. M. (2026). How silence facilitates verbal participation. English Language Teaching Educational Journal, 3(1), 188–197.

[5] Claxton, C. (2014). School as an epistemic apprenticeship. Journal for the Study of Education and Development, 37, 227–247.

[6] Crompton, H., Jones, M. V., & Burke, D. (2022). AI affordances in K-12 education. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 56(1), 1–21.

[7] Kushmar, L. V., Vornachev, A. O., Korobova, I. O., & Kaida, N. O. (2022). AI anxiety in language learning. Arab World English Journal, 13(8), 262–273.

[8] Liando, N. V. F., & Poluan, M. I. (2024). EFL teachers' perceptions of the Silent Way. Edumaspul: Jurnal Pendidikan, 8(1), 7850.

[9] Rassaei, E. (2023). Timing of corrective feedback in L2 learning. International Review of Applied Linguistics, 61(1), 45–68.

[10] Chen, M. Z. (2016). English flipped classroom from the perspective of the Silent Way theory. Journal of Changchun University, 26(12), 118–121.

[11] Song, Y. (2019). The cultivation of students' oral ability via the Silent Way. Modern Communication, (9), 224–225.

[12] Yang, S. E. (2025). Transformation and coping strategies of English teaching models in the digital intelligence era. Foreign Languages Research, (3), 18–23.

[13] Luo, W. (2024). A practical research on college English teaching model empowered by artificial intelligence. Modern English, (15), 4–6.

[14] Wang, F. (2024). Research on blended college English teaching model empowered by artificial intelligence. Modern English, (6), 15–17.

[15] Research Group of Southwest University. (2025). Ethical boundaries of artificial intelligence empowered teachers' oral expression. Journal of Southwest University (Social Sciences Edition), (5), 1–9.

[16] Chen, H. (2013). A brief analysis of the Silent Way. Overseas English, (9).

[17] Wang, L. (2007). A brief discussion on the Silent Way and its enlightenment. Journal of Liaoning Vocational College of Economy, (3).

[18] Yang, L. R. (2007). A Course in English Language Teaching. Shandong University Press.

[19] Gao, H. J. (2020). An experimental study on oral English teaching based on the Silent Way. Modern Foreign Languages, 43(4), 512–523.

[20] Ma, J. (2021). Practical research on artificial intelligence assisted English writing teaching. Media in Foreign Language Instruction, 42(11), 76–84.

[21] Zhang, X. (2019). Practical exploration of the Silent Way in middle school English classrooms. Foreign Language World, (6), 58–64.

[22] Liu, Y. (2022). Research on the application of artificial intelligence in oral English

teaching. China Educational Technology, 44(3), 34–40.

[23] Zheng, L. (2022). Research on the correlation of classroom silence and teachers' questioning strategies in senior high English classes (Master's thesis, Anhui Normal University).

[24] Lin, L. J. (2017). Research on classroom silence in senior high English classes (Master's thesis, Jiangxi Normal University).