Frontiers in Engineering
ISSN Print: 3104-4298
ISSN Online: 3104-4301
CD ISSN: 3104-431X
https://doi.org/10.63313/FE.9017

Multi-Source Data Fusion in Intelligent Management Systems: From Smart Tourism to Precision Medicine

Shengyu Gu
School of Geography and Tourism, Huizhou University, Huizhou, China
Email: miller@hzu.edu.cn

How to cite this paper: Gu, S. (2026). Multi-Source Data Fusion in Intelligent Management Systems: From Smart Tourism to Precision Medicine. Frontiers in Engineering, 1(3), 63–73. ISSN Print: 3104-4298; ISSN Online: 3104-4301.
https://doi.org/10.63313/FE.9017
Published: 2026-07-28

Copyright © 2026 by author(s) and Erytis Publishing Limited.
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
CC BY 4.0

Abstract

This study examines how multi-source data fusion enables intelligent management systems across diverse governance domains. Focusing on smart tourism, urban management, and precision healthcare, the paper highlights the role of data governance, interoperability, and heterogeneous data integration in supporting evidence-based decision-making. Publicly available datasets from TCGA, CGGA, GEO, UNWTO, and Google Mobility are used to illustrate how large-scale, multi-format data environments function as testbeds for data fusion frameworks.

The analysis demonstrates that integrated data architectures support key management functions, including forecasting, resource optimization, risk control, and policy planning. While healthcare systems rely on multi-omics and clinical data to support risk stratification and resource allocation, tourism systems use mobility, booking, and behavioral data to manage visitor flows and destination strategies. Despite domain differences, both systems face similar challenges related to data heterogeneity, interoperability, and institutional coordination.

The findings confirm that effective data fusion is not domain-specific but represents a transferable governance methodology applicable to tourism management, urban planning, and cross-sector policy systems. Healthcare analytics is therefore positioned as one application context of broader data-driven management frameworks rather than a distinct analytical paradigm.

Keywords

Multi-Source Data Fusion; Data Governance; Interoperability; Intelligent Management Systems; Smart Tourism; Precision Medicine; Urban Governance; Big Data Integration; Policy Planning

1. Introduction

Modern intelligent management systems increasingly rely on the integration of heterogeneous data sources to support governance, planning, and decision

optimization. As digital infrastructures expand, organizations across sectors are confronted with growing volumes of diverse data generated from mobility platforms, sensors, administrative records, and user-generated content. In smart tourism governance, mobility data, booking records, and online reviews are combined to monitor visitor behavior, manage destination capacity, and design evidence-based tourism strategies. Urban management systems similarly depend on multi-source sensor and administrative data to optimize infrastructure operations, traffic management, and public service delivery. In precision healthcare, large-scale clinical and multi-omics datasets are integrated to support risk stratification, treatment planning, and institutional resource allocation (Weinstein et al., 2013).

These domains differ in their operational goals, yet they share a common reliance on data integration to address complex governance challenges. Decision-making in such environments requires the ability to process fragmented, heterogeneous, and continuously evolving data streams. The effectiveness of intelligent management systems therefore depends not only on analytical models, but also on the institutional frameworks that regulate how data are collected, shared, and used.

According to the World Health Organization (WHO, 2023), global health systems generate vast and complex datasets that require standardized data governance frameworks to ensure data quality, security, and interoperability. Similar governance challenges are observed in tourism and urban management, where data originate from multiple public and private stakeholders. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development and the World Bank emphasize interoperability and data governance as essential foundations for effective public-sector decision-making in data-intensive environments (OECD, 2019; World Bank, 2021). Without shared standards and coordinated governance mechanisms, the potential value of integrated data remains limited.

Data-driven governance further highlights the strategic use of integrated datasets to guide policy formulation, institutional coordination, and long-term planning across sectors (Kitchin, 2014). Rather than relying on isolated data sources or domain-specific expertise, modern governance increasingly depends on cross-sector data fusion to support evidence-based decisions. Integrated data environments enable organizations to identify patterns, anticipate risks, and evaluate policy outcomes more systematically.

From this perspective, intelligent management systems depend less on domain-specific knowledge and more on robust data integration, interoperability, and governance mechanisms. Healthcare analytics therefore represents one application context of multi-source data fusion, rather than a distinct methodological domain. The same data governance principles that support precision medicine also underpin smart tourism management, urban planning, and other complex governance systems. By focusing on data fusion as a core methodological foundation, this study positions intelligent management systems as

cross-domain governance tools capable of addressing heterogeneous data challenges across multiple policy and operational contexts.

2. Conceptual Framework: Data Governance & Multi-Source Fusion

Data governance refers to the policies, standards, and institutional mechanisms that regulate how data are collected, shared, and used to support decision-making (OECD, 2019; World Bank, 2021). In data-intensive environments, governance frameworks play a critical role in ensuring data quality, security, transparency, and accountability across organizations. Without clear governance structures, heterogeneous data sources may remain fragmented, inconsistent, or underutilized, limiting their value for strategic planning and policy implementation.

Interoperability is a central component of effective data governance. It enables different information systems to exchange, interpret, and reuse data in a consistent manner, regardless of differences in platforms, formats, or institutional boundaries (Kitchin, 2014). In multi-source environments such as tourism platforms and healthcare information systems, interoperability supports cross-sector coordination by allowing mobility data, administrative records, and analytical outputs to be integrated into unified decision-support processes. This capability is essential for intelligent management systems that depend on continuous data flows from diverse stakeholders.

Heterogeneous data fusion extends beyond technical interoperability by integrating diverse data types-structured, semi-structured, and unstructured-into unified analytical frameworks. These data may include numerical indicators, sensor readings, textual content, and complex biological information. Intelligent management systems rely on such fusion processes to transform fragmented information into coherent, decision-relevant insights for policy design and operational planning (Weinstein et al., 2013). Through data fusion, organizations can identify patterns, correlations, and trends that are not visible when data sources are analyzed in isolation.

In both smart tourism and precision medicine, decision-makers face similar challenges related to data heterogeneity, scale, and governance complexity. Tourism systems integrate mobility data, booking records, and user-generated content, while healthcare systems combine clinical information with multi-omics datasets. Although the content of the data differs, the underlying governance requirements-such as data standardization, secure sharing, and institutional coordination-remain comparable. Effective data fusion therefore depends not on the specific domain, but on the ability to manage diverse data sources within coherent governance frameworks.

As shown in Table 1, smart tourism and precision medicine differ in data content but share similar governance and integration challenges. Both require interoperable

systems, standardized data management practices, and coordinated institutional oversight. These shared characteristics confirm that data fusion principles are transferable across domains and can support intelligent management systems in tourism, healthcare, and other complex governance contexts.

Table 1. Comparison of Data Fusion Dimensions in Smart Tourism and Precision Medicine

Dimension	Smart Tourism	Precision Medicine
Data sources	Mobility, booking, reviews	Genomics, imaging, clinical
Data structure	Semi-structured	High-dimensional
Governance needs	Policy, planning	Risk stratification
Decision goal	Flow optimization	Treatment optimization

3. Types of Multi-Source Data

Intelligent management systems operate in environments characterized by heterogeneous data generated from multiple sources, formats, and temporal scales. These data originate from a wide range of digital and institutional processes, including user interactions, sensor networks, administrative records, textual content, and scientific measurements. In both smart tourism and precision healthcare, effective decision-making relies on the integration of behavioral, sensor, textual, molecular, and policy-related data. Such diversity reflects the complexity of real-world governance contexts, where no single data type is sufficient to support effective planning, coordination, or risk management (Kitchin, 2014).

Behavioral data capture the actions and movements of individuals, such as tourist flows in destination areas or patient pathways in healthcare systems. Sensor data provide real-time measurements of environmental or physiological conditions, including crowd density in tourism settings and vital signs in medical contexts. Textual data, such as online reviews or clinical notes, contain unstructured qualitative information that reflects user experiences, service quality, and professional assessments. Molecular data, particularly in healthcare, include genomic and other omics information that add a high-dimensional biological layer to decision processes. Policy-related data, including regulations and guidelines, define the institutional framework within which decisions are made.

Tourism systems combine real-time mobility data, online reviews, booking records, and regulatory information to monitor visitor behavior, manage destination capacity, and design evidence-based tourism strategies. These data sources help authorities anticipate peak demand, prevent overcrowding, and allocate resources efficiently. Healthcare systems similarly integrate patient pathways, vital signs, clinical notes, and genomic data to support diagnosis, treatment planning, and institutional resource management (Weinstein et al., 2013). In both domains, decision-makers must interpret diverse data streams that differ in structure, reliability, and update frequency.

The main challenge is therefore not the application domain itself, but the

heterogeneity of data structures, temporal dynamics, and semantic meanings across sources. Structured datasets, such as sensor readings and administrative records, can be processed using standardized analytical tools. In contrast, unstructured textual data and high-dimensional molecular data require specialized processing methods and governance frameworks to ensure consistency and interpretability. Without effective data fusion mechanisms, these diverse data layers remain fragmented and difficult to translate into coherent management insights. This fusion logic is also applicable to cultural governance, such as Huidong Intangible Cultural Heritage, where unstructured textual archives and multimedia records are integrated to support digital preservation and intelligent exhibition management.

As summarized in Table 2, heterogeneous data layers differ substantially in format and temporal dynamics. Some data are generated in real time, while others are updated periodically or irregularly. These differences require robust data fusion and governance mechanisms to ensure interoperability, data quality, and analytical consistency across intelligent management systems. By addressing data heterogeneity through integrated frameworks, organizations can transform complex, multi-source information into actionable knowledge for governance, planning, and decision optimization.

Table 2. Classification of Heterogeneous Data Sources in Intelligent Systems

Data Layer	Data Type	Tourism Example	Healthcare Example	Structure	Update Frequency
Behavioral	User actions	Tourist flows	Patient pathways	Semi-structured	Real-time
Sensor	IoT data	Crowd density	Vital signs	Structured	Real-time
Textual	Reviews	Travel feedback	Clinical notes	Unstructured	Irregular
Molecular	–	–	Genomics	High-dimensional	Periodic
Policy	Regulations	Tourism policy	Clinical guidelines	Structured	Periodic

4. Data Fusion Architectures

Multi-source data fusion in intelligent management systems can be structured into hierarchical levels that progressively transform heterogeneous inputs into decision-oriented outputs. These architectures provide a systematic framework for integrating diverse datasets, extracting relevant information, and supporting strategic actions in complex governance environments (Kitchin, 2014; OECD, 2019). By organizing data processing into distinct stages, fusion architectures help reduce informational complexity and enhance the consistency of analytical outcomes.

At the data level, raw information from different sources is integrated to create a unified dataset. This stage focuses on data collection, cleaning, and standardization to ensure that heterogeneous inputs can be processed within a common analytical environment. In smart tourism systems, this involves combining ticketing records, GPS mobility data, and sensor-based crowd information to monitor visitor movements and spatial patterns. In healthcare systems, genomic data may be

merged with medical imaging and clinical records to construct comprehensive patient profiles that reflect multiple biological and clinical dimensions (Weinstein et al., 2013). Effective data-level fusion improves data completeness and reduces fragmentation across information sources.

At the feature level, analytical models extract patterns and representations from integrated data. This stage transforms raw datasets into structured features that capture meaningful characteristics of the underlying system. Tourism systems generate visitor profiles based on behavioral indicators such as travel frequency, duration of stay, and activity preferences. These profiles support market segmentation and service optimization. Healthcare systems, in contrast, identify molecular signatures and clinical patterns associated with disease risk, enabling more refined classification and prioritization of patients. Feature-level fusion thus converts complex data into interpretable representations suitable for further analysis.

At the decision level, fused information supports strategic planning and institutional decision-making. Outputs from analytical models are translated into actionable insights that guide policy formulation, operational management, and resource allocation. Tourism authorities use these insights for destination management, capacity planning, and demand regulation. Healthcare institutions apply them for risk stratification, treatment prioritization, and organizational resource distribution. At this stage, data fusion directly influences governance outcomes by linking analytical results to managerial actions.

Across all three levels, fusion architectures emphasize the transformation of heterogeneous data into coherent, decision-relevant knowledge. The hierarchical structure ensures that raw data are progressively refined into higher-level insights that support evidence-based governance. As summarized in Table 3, multi-level fusion architectures enable consistent decision support across domains despite differences in data content and institutional objectives. This confirms that data fusion is not a domain-specific technique, but a generalizable methodological framework for intelligent management systems operating in complex, multi-source data environments.

Table 3. Multi-Level Data Fusion Architecture

Fusion Level	Function	Tourism Application	Healthcare Application
Data-level	Raw integration	Ticket + GPS	Genomics + imaging
Feature-level	Pattern extraction	Visitor profiles	Molecular signatures
Decision-level	Strategy	Destination planning	Risk stratification

5. Case Data Sources

To examine multi-source data fusion in intelligent management systems, this study draws on internationally recognized datasets from both healthcare and tourism domains. These sources provide large-scale, heterogeneous data environments that

are suitable for evaluating data integration, interoperability, and governance mechanisms under realistic conditions. The selected datasets are publicly accessible, well-documented, and widely used in academic and policy research, ensuring transparency and methodological reliability.

In the healthcare domain, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), the Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas (CGGA), and the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) offer extensive multi-omics and gene expression data linked to clinical attributes. TCGA provides genomic, transcriptomic, and epigenomic profiles for thousands of patients across multiple cancer types, while CGGA focuses on glioma-specific transcriptomic data from Chinese populations. GEO serves as a global repository for gene expression datasets submitted by research institutions worldwide. Together, these datasets support complex data fusion for precision medicine research by integrating molecular information with clinical records (Weinstein et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2021).

In tourism governance, the United Nations World Tourism Organization (UNWTO) provides standardized international tourism statistics covering visitor flows, arrivals, and economic indicators across more than 190 countries. These data enable cross-national comparisons and long-term trend analysis for destination planning and policy evaluation (UNWTO, 2023). Google Mobility Reports complement these official statistics by offering real-time movement data derived from anonymized location information. These reports capture changes in population mobility across different types of destinations, such as retail areas, parks, and transit stations, providing dynamic insights into travel behavior (Google, 2024).

Although healthcare and tourism datasets differ in content and scale, they share common characteristics as multi-source governance environments. Both domains involve heterogeneous data structures, varying update frequencies, and multiple institutional stakeholders. Some datasets are updated in near real time, while others are released periodically. Some contain highly structured numerical information, while others include complex, high-dimensional biological data or aggregated statistical indicators.

As summarized in Table 4, these datasets differ in structure, scale, and temporal resolution, yet all require effective data fusion frameworks to ensure interoperability, analytical consistency, and governance reliability. The ability to integrate such diverse datasets into coherent analytical systems is essential for informed decision-making in intelligent management contexts. Rather than focusing on domain-specific content, this study uses these datasets to illustrate how multi-source data fusion supports governance, planning, and strategic coordination across complex institutional environments

Table 4. Real and Verifiable Data Sources for Multi-Source Data Fusion Analysis

Dataset	Domain	Data Type	Size	Source
TCGA	Healthcare	Multi-omics	>11,000 patients	NIH

CGGA	Healthcare	Transcriptomics	~300 glioma	China
GEO	Healthcare	Gene expression	>10,000 datasets	NCBI
UNWTO	Tourism	Tourism flows	190+ countries	UN
Google Mobility	Tourism	Movement data	Global	Google

6. Intelligent Management Systems

Multi-source data fusion enables intelligent management systems to transform fragmented information into actionable governance functions. In data-intensive environments, decision-makers face growing complexity due to the volume, diversity, and rapid update cycles of available data. By integrating heterogeneous datasets into unified analytical frameworks, organizations can improve forecasting accuracy, optimize resource allocation, manage systemic risks, and design evidence-based policies. These capabilities are central to intelligent management systems that aim to support strategic planning and operational coordination across complex institutional contexts.

In tourism systems, fused mobility, booking, and behavioral data support demand prediction and capacity planning. By analyzing visitor movements, reservation patterns, and user-generated content, tourism authorities can anticipate peak demand, identify congestion risks, and allocate services more efficiently (Li et al., 2017; UNWTO, 2023). Such data-driven forecasting allows destinations to balance economic benefits with sustainability goals by preventing overcrowding and optimizing infrastructure use. The integration of multiple data sources also enables real-time monitoring of tourism dynamics, supporting adaptive management strategies in response to changing travel patterns.

In healthcare systems, the integration of clinical and multi-omics data enables forecasting of disease progression, optimization of medical resource distribution, and stratification of patient risk groups. By combining molecular profiles with clinical records, healthcare institutions can identify patient subgroups with different risk levels and treatment needs, improving the efficiency of resource allocation and care planning (Weinstein et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2021). These analytical functions reduce uncertainty in decision-making by providing structured, evidence-based insights that support prioritization and institutional coordination.

Across both domains, risk management is a key function supported by data fusion. In tourism, integrated datasets help identify risks related to over-tourism, infrastructure strain, and seasonal volatility. In healthcare, risk stratification supports early intervention and efficient deployment of medical resources. In each case, data fusion enables organizations to move from reactive decision-making toward proactive governance based on predictive insights.

Beyond operational management, data fusion also supports policy planning by providing comprehensive, cross-sectoral perspectives. Integrated datasets allow policymakers to assess long-term trends, evaluate the effectiveness of interventions,

and align strategies with broader development objectives. Evidence-based approaches in both tourism governance and healthcare management rely on integrated information systems to ensure that policies are informed by reliable, multi-dimensional data (Kitchin, 2014). Similarly, intelligent management of Huidong Intangible Cultural Heritage leverages data fusion to balance tourism promotion with cultural authenticity, ensuring sustainable development through evidence-based policy design.

As summarized in Table 5, data fusion enables comparable management functions across tourism and healthcare systems despite differences in domain content. Both rely on forecasting, resource optimization, risk control, and strategic planning to achieve institutional goals. This cross-domain similarity highlights the role of multi-source data fusion as a foundational component of intelligent management systems rather than a domain-specific analytical tool.

Table 5. Management Functions Enabled by Data Fusion

Function	Tourism System	Healthcare System
Forecasting	Demand prediction	Disease progression
Allocation	Capacity planning	Medical resources
Risk control	Over-tourism	Patient stratification
Policy design	Destination strategy	Treatment guidelines

7. Governance & Ethics

Effective multi-source data fusion depends on strong governance frameworks that ensure transparency, interoperability, and institutional trust. In data-intensive management systems, governance structures define how data are collected, processed, shared, and used across organizational boundaries. Transparent data practices allow stakeholders to understand the origins, processing methods, and decision implications of integrated datasets, thereby reducing uncertainty, minimizing accountability risks, and enhancing public confidence in data-driven decisions (OECD, 2019).

Transparency is particularly important in complex governance environments where multiple institutions contribute data to shared analytical platforms. When data sources, fusion methods, and decision processes are clearly documented, organizations can better evaluate the reliability and limitations of analytical outputs. This clarity supports informed oversight, facilitates institutional learning, and reduces the risk of misinterpretation or misuse of data-driven insights.

Interoperability standards further enable different systems to exchange and interpret data consistently. In cross-sector environments such as tourism management, urban planning, and healthcare administration, data often originate from heterogeneous platforms with different technical formats and governance arrangements. Interoperability ensures that these systems can communicate effectively, allowing integrated datasets to support coordinated decision-making

across institutional boundaries (World Bank, 2021). Without interoperability, data fusion efforts may remain fragmented, limiting their strategic value for policy and management.

Institutional trust is strengthened when data governance mechanisms protect data quality, security, and responsible use. Clear rules regarding data access, validation, and protection help prevent misuse and ensure that integrated datasets maintain analytical credibility. When stakeholders perceive data systems as reliable, secure, and fairly governed, they are more likely to support data-sharing initiatives and engage with data-driven management practices.

Cross-sector governance further ensures that public and private organizations align their data strategies with broader policy objectives and societal interests. By coordinating data standards, sharing protocols, and governance responsibilities, institutions can create integrated data ecosystems that support long-term planning and collective problem-solving (Kitchin, 2014). Such coordination is essential in intelligent management systems where decisions affect multiple stakeholder groups and policy domains.

In this context, ethical governance is centered on data stewardship, institutional coordination, and procedural accountability rather than domain-specific moral concerns. The ethical dimension of multi-source data fusion lies in how data are governed, shared, and used to support transparent, inclusive, and responsible decision-making across complex governance systems.

8. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that multi-source data fusion is the core mechanism enabling intelligent management systems across different domains. Rather than being driven by domain-specific knowledge, effective governance increasingly depends on the ability to integrate heterogeneous datasets, ensure interoperability among information systems, and apply robust data governance frameworks. These capabilities allow organizations to transform fragmented data into coherent, decision-relevant insights for planning, coordination, and risk management.

Healthcare datasets such as TCGA, CGGA, and GEO serve only as one application case for testing data integration, interoperability, and governance frameworks in complex data environments. Their value in this study lies not in advancing medical discovery, but in providing large-scale, heterogeneous data that illustrate how multi-source fusion supports forecasting, resource optimization, and institutional decision-making. The analytical challenges associated with healthcare data—such as high dimensionality, diverse data structures, and varying update frequencies—are comparable to those faced in other governance domains.

The same methodological principles support smart tourism management, urban planning, and cross-sector governance. In tourism systems, integrated mobility, behavioral, and policy data guide destination strategies and capacity planning. In

urban and public-sector management, multi-source data fusion enables coordinated infrastructure planning, service optimization, and evidence-based policymaking. Across these contexts, data fusion functions as a generalizable governance tool rather than a domain-specific analytical technique.

By positioning healthcare analytics as one case within a broader data governance framework, this study highlights the transferability of data-driven fusion models beyond medical contexts. Intelligent management systems benefit from shared principles of data integration, interoperability, and institutional coordination, regardless of the application domain. This confirms that multi-source data fusion represents a foundational methodology for governing complex, data-intensive systems in tourism, cities, and cross-sector policy environments.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the project "Technical Services for the Operation of Huidong Intangible Cultural Heritage Exhibition Hall" (Project No. 18/35900259094).

References

[1] Google. (2024). COVID-19 community mobility reports. https://www.google.com/covid19/mobility/

[2] Kitchin, R. (2014). The data revolution: Big data, open data, data infrastructures and their consequences. Sage.

[3] National Center for Biotechnology Information. (2024). Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo

[4] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2019). Enhancing access to and sharing of data. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/276aaca8-en

[5] United Nations World Tourism Organization. (2023). Tourism statistics. https://www.unwto.org/statistics

[6] Weinstein, J. N., Collisson, E. A., Mills, G. B., Shaw, K. R., Ozenberger, B. A., Ellrott, K., ... & Stuart, J. M. (2013). The cancer genome atlas pan-cancer analysis project. Nature genetics, 45(10), 1113-1120.

[7] World Bank. (2021). World development report 2021: Data for better lives. World Bank.

[8] World Health Organization. (2023). Tracking universal health coverage: 2023 global monitoring report. World Health Organization.

[9] Zhao, Z., Zhang, K. N., Wang, Q., Li, G., Zeng, F., Zhang, Y., ... & Jiang, T. (2021). Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas (CGGA): a comprehensive resource with functional genomic data from Chinese glioma patients. Genomics, proteomics & bioinformatics, 19(1), 1-12.