International Journal of Social Science, Education and Humanities
ISSN Print: 3104-4239
ISSN Online: 3104-4247
CD ISSN: 3104-4255
https://doi.org/10.63313/IJSSEH.9025

AI-Empowered Reconstruction of Higher Education Ecosystems Teaching Innovation, Management Transformation, and Implementation Pathways

Xing Zhong
School of Foreign Language Literature, Zhaoqing University, Zhaoqing, Guangdong 526061, China
Email: 463791153@qq.com

How to cite this paper: Zhong, X. (2026). AI-Empowered reconstruction of higher education ecosystems: Teaching innovation, management transformation, and implementation pathways. *International Journal of Social Science, Education and Humanities*, 2(1), 10-18. ISSN Print: 3104-4239; ISSN Online: 3104-4247.
https://doi.org/10.63313/IJSSEH.9025
Published: 2026-01-16

Abstract

With the rapid advancement of technologies such as generative AI and large language models (LLMs), artificial intelligence has evolved from an auxiliary tool to a core element within the higher education ecosystem. By systematically reviewing AI applications across higher education teaching domains (mathematics, foreign languages, physical education, etc.) and educational management domains (one-stop communities, student education, etc.), this study analyzes the underlying technical frameworks and value logic supporting AI. It reveals current shortcomings in humanities, technological limitations, application disparities, and other practical challenges. Concurrently, it emphasizes the construction of collaborative mechanisms, refinement of regulatory systems, and enhancement of competency cultivation across three dimensions, while optimizing and improving standardized frameworks.

Keywords

Artificial Intelligence; Higher Education; Teaching Innovation; Management Transformation; Ecological Reconstruction

1. Introduction

Driven by digital transformation and Education Informatization 2.0, the integration of AI technology with higher education has evolved from the "technology application" phase to the "ecosystem reconstruction" phase. The 2024 Intelligent Education Development Report indicates that generative AI is reshaping the entire chain of educational learning, teaching, management, and assessment through diverse application scenarios [1]. From proposing the "Teacher-Student-AI Collaborative Classroom" to constructing AI-powered teaching and research entities, and developing AI-enhanced foreign language learning competency frameworks, AI delivers multifaceted value in higher education [2-4]. However, limitations exposed in humanities experimental teaching highlight deeper contradictions within the technology integration process [5-6].

Higher education currently faces numerous challenges, including modernizing personalized learning, enhancing management efficiency, and optimizing talent cultivation quality. AI technology offers new pathways to address these challenges through data processing, intelligent analysis, and scenario simulation. Current research predominantly focuses on single disciplines or scenario management, lacking a systematic examination of the higher education ecosystem. This paper integrates multidimensional literature—spanning disciplinary teaching management, educational administration, and technology application—to establish an AI analytical foundation, thereby supporting the reconstruction of logic and practical pathways within the higher education ecosystem.

2. Key Dimensions and Current Practices of AI in University Education

2.1. Strengthening AI Practices in Disciplinary Teaching

AI applications in university teaching exhibit characteristics of "cross-disciplinary penetration and full-process coverage," forming a unique reinforcement model.

2.1.1. Science and Engineering Teaching

In science and engineering education, the "Teacher-Student-AI Collaborative Classroom" model has been established. AI enables personalized exercise recommendations and real-time learning analytics, freeing instructors from repetitive tasks to focus on guiding critical thinking and addressing challenging concepts [2]. Generative AI can construct intelligent course mappings based on affective data, demonstrating significantly superior adaptability and focus compared to traditional learning models, thereby providing a reference paradigm for technological applications in university science education [7]. In programming education, AI integration enhances product development, creates personalized learning models, and provides real-time feedback to dynamically adjust teaching content. The elevated value of AI in experimental design and data processing will center on university lab instruction, offering practical models for the intelligent transformation of engineering experiments [5,8].

2.2.2. Language Instruction

In language education, AI applications exhibit "multi-technology transformation and multi-modal presentation." Integrating VR with AI creates immersive scenario-based interpretation teaching models, enhancing learner capabilities through virtual scenario simulation and intelligent AI augmentation [9-10]. AI applications in language instruction have evolved from multimedia assistance toward intelligent learning, personalized feedback, and language skill development

[11-12]. Students leverage AI to construct foreign language learning competency frameworks. Empirical research on technology-based adoption models provides theoretical support for the standardized application and effectiveness of AI in language education [4,13], highlighting the immersive and interactive advantages of VR-AI integration in language instruction [14-15].

2.1.3. Physical Education and General Education

AI applications in assessing classroom learning for specialized subjects like physical education achieve objective and precise evaluation of motor skills through motion capture and quantitative data analysis, addressing the subjective shortcomings of traditional physical education assessment [16]. This shift from “subjective empiricism” to “objective databases” significantly enhances the scientific rigor and fairness of physical education assessment. In general education, analyses of AI-supported digital reading interactions from an intelligent interaction perspective indicate that AI can reconstruct reading experiences through personalized recommendations and interactive Q&A features [17-18]. The evolution of information access methods is reshaping how knowledge is acquired—AI search is replacing traditional search engines, imposing new demands on students' information literacy while driving updates to general education content [19]. In interdisciplinary practice, AI is facilitating the transition from “standardized delivery” to “personalized cultivation” through three pathways: personalized adaptation, intelligent feedback, and simulation.

2.2. Intelligent Empowerment Innovations in Educational Management

AI technology provides the primary driving force for innovation in higher education management models, establishing diverse practice paradigms oriented toward “one-stop” student services, educational support, and daily administration. Transitioning from experience-driven to data-driven approaches, the one-stop smart community service platform integrates resources to fully digitize services like maintenance requests and consultations. It accurately anticipates student needs, issues early risk warnings, and supports targeted educational development. Simultaneously, it enhances decision-making efficiency and scientific rigor in areas such as faculty management and campus security protocols.

2.2.1. Management Model Reconstruction

Innovative “one-stop” student community management models have been proposed in management reconstruction, integrating teaching, logistical, and academic resources to achieve precise responses to student needs and efficient service delivery [20]. Further integration of AI into community-based student education management establishes a full-process management system encompassing “intelligent recognition—targeted push notifications—dynamic evaluation,” enhancing educational precision and effectiveness [21]. Against the backdrop of digital transformation, AI has become a critical enabler for boosting higher education management efficiency, resolving information overload and process redundancy in traditional management through data interaction and intelligent analysis [22-23].

2.2.2. Intelligent Management of Learning and Assessment

By synergizing with AI to optimize management pathways, the integration of “Internet Plus” and AI delivers innovative solutions for university student education management, including intelligent attendance tracking, learning alerts, and crisis intervention [24]. Through intelligent recommendations and analysis of learning behaviors on online platforms, AI applications in student education management standardize and enhance precision. AI drives the transformation of student education management from “experience-driven” to “data-driven,” achieving dual improvements in management efficiency [25].

2.3. AI-Supported Technical Framework and Value Logic

The core logic of AI in higher education follows a chain reaction: “technology empowerment—process optimization—value creation.” The generative technical framework for AI education defines a three-tier architecture: “data layer—algorithm layer—application layer.” The data layer is based on sentiment and governance data, the algorithm layer employs Large Language Models (LLMs) and machine learning for intelligent analysis, while the application layer covers diverse scenarios[1]. Thinking chain designs built on LLMs further optimize technology implementation pathways. Leveraging AI support, logical analysis of generated learning models provides academic backing for teaching, research, and decision-making [3].

From a value perspective, AI enables higher education to achieve threefold value: First, efficiency value—enhancing teaching management effectiveness by automating repetitive tasks; Second, it delivers equity value—narrowing academic achievement gaps among diverse student groups through personalized resource allocation and targeted support; Third, it fosters innovation value—expanding educational boundaries and dimensions by integrating VR/AR with AI to build multimodal learning models [26-27]. AI has become the core node connecting faculty, students, learning resources, and management systems, propelling higher education from linear structures toward networked ecosystems [17].

3. The True Dilemmas of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education

Delving into its underlying logic, artificial intelligence employs a core technological framework comprising data layers, algorithm layers, and application layers. Leveraging vast educational datasets and core algorithms, it achieves precise responses. Its core value lies in addressing traditional educational pain points, promoting balanced resource allocation, and enabling personalized development.

Despite immense potential in higher education, current practices still face numerous technical, humanistic, and institutional challenges that limit the full realization of its value. Humanistic shortcomings lead to a lack of emotional guidance, while technological limitations result in information bias and inadequate adaptation. Application disparities between regions and institutions exacerbate educational inequality, accompanied by practical challenges such as data security and ethical controversies.

3.1. Risks of Humanistic Deviance in Technical Applications

The instrumental rationality of AI technologies in university laboratory teaching can easily lead to a loss of humanistic values. Overreliance on AI may weaken students' practical abilities and stifle innovative thinking. While integrating virtual reality and AI in language instruction can enhance immersion, it risks fostering excessive dependence on virtual interactions and diminishing real-world communication skills. Such humanistic misalignment not only contradicts the core principle of “cultivating virtue through education” but also runs counter to higher education's fundamental goal of “nurturing talent.”

3.2. Technological Limitations and Boundaries

AI instructors demonstrate advantages in knowledge transmission and skill instruction, yet remain incapable of replacing human teachers in core developmental areas such as value guidance, emotional care, and intellectual stimulation. When applied to educational administration, AI's one-dimensional data-driven emotional assessments may overlook individual differences and developmental potential, while data-driven AI models risk generating “algorithmic bias” [6]. Furthermore, the shift from search engines to AI-powered search enhances information retrieval efficiency but may intensify students' “information silo” effect, hindering critical thinking development [19].

3.3. Disparities in User Acceptance and Application Potential

Based on the Technology Acceptance Model, student learning motivation, technological simplicity, and practicality directly influence AI acceptance in language learning [13]. At the teacher level, cognitive disparities exist, with some educators lacking sufficient awareness of AI applications, hindering the effective realization of the core “teacher-student-AI collaboration” dynamic [2]. Capability differences lead to a “polarized” landscape in AI adoption within higher education institutions: while some teachers and students benefit significantly, others face technological rejection and adaptation challenges. The effectiveness of AI-enabled outcomes is thus markedly influenced by user acceptance and application capabilities.

3.4. Lack of Systemic Standards and Safeguards

Regarding data security, clear standards for protecting training data and student privacy are absent. In assessment frameworks, performance metrics for AI-assisted teaching and management remain undeveloped. Ethically, algorithmic transparency and accountability in AI applications lack explicit definitions. These institutional gaps not only amplify technological risks but also constrain the standardization of AI in advancing higher education.

4. Practical Pathways for AI-Driven Higher Education Ecosystem Reconstruction

Building a New Three-Dimensional Higher Education Ecosystem: Collaborative Mechanisms, Regulatory Frameworks, and Competency Development to Establish a Triple-Dimensional Approach of "Technology Empowerment—Humanities Guidance—Institutional Safeguards."

4.1. Establishing Multi-layered Collaborative Mechanisms

Deepening the "faculty-student-AI" collaborative learning model reveals the roles of faculty as mentors and designers, students as agents, and AI as an auxiliary function. This constructs a scientific learning triangle structure of "faculty-led, AI-empowered, student-centered" collaboration. AI executes core tasks like topic analysis and emotional assessment, allowing faculty to focus on cognitive management and innovation cultivation [2]. In language instruction, integrate VR and AI to create immersive learning environments while strengthening communication and language skills training in authentic contexts [9].

Establish a "technology-management-service" governance framework, consolidate "one-stop" student community resources, and develop an intelligent AI management platform to achieve seamless integration of education, student services, and student affairs [20]. Construct thinking chains through large language models, develop educational agents and AI management assistants to enhance the scientific rigor of decision-making and the precision of services [3].

4.2. Refine the Technology Application Standards System

Develop human-centered technology application guidelines, clearly define the boundaries of AI use across disciplines, and adhere to the "AI-assisted + hands-on practice" principle in experimental teaching to prevent technology from replacing practical skills [5]. In educational administration, uphold the approach of "algorithm assistance + humanistic care" to prevent data-driven approaches from neglecting individual student needs.

Strengthen ethical and data security frameworks to establish ethical norms for AI in higher education, specifying requirements for algorithm transparency, accountability traceability, and privacy protection. Establish a learning data security management system to optimize the entire process of data collection, storage, and application, mitigating risks of data breaches.

4.3. Strengthening Engineering Initiatives for AI Literacy Development

Among Faculty and Students

Establish a system to enhance faculty AI learning capabilities by integrating AI technologies into teacher training programs and leveraging the Technology-Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework to improve teachers' technology integration skills [27]. Expand AI applications in teaching research to lower technological adoption barriers for educators, fostering deeper integration of AI with pedagogical practices [3]. Cultivate students' AI learning competencies by applying the foreign language learning competency framework from intelligent tutoring systems to construct an interdisciplinary AI learning competency development system. This system should encompass core dimensions such as applied skills, critical thinking, and information filtering abilities [4,28]. Learning motivation design can enhance students' acceptance and application capabilities of AI learning tools [13].

4.4. Deepening the Integration of Technology and Educational Innovation

Advance interdisciplinary technological applications by integrating AI with AR/VR, big data, and other technologies. Propose the concept of “Smart Edition,” extendable to university textbook development and broader domains, to construct AI-empowered immersive teaching resources [26]. In innovation and entrepreneurship education, guide practical incubation of AI-optimized projects and establish dynamic evaluation feedback mechanisms [29]. Develop an AI education effectiveness evaluation system that balances performance metrics with talent cultivation quality. Through continuous practice feedback, dynamically optimize AI application models and technical frameworks to achieve precise alignment between technological capabilities and educational needs.

5. Conclusions and Outlook

AI technology is irreversibly reshaping the higher education ecosystem. Its value lies not only in enhancing teaching and management efficiency but also in transforming educational philosophies, learning models, and management systems. While numerous application paradigms have emerged in university teaching and educational management, implementation still faces challenges such as humanistic misalignment, technological limitations, and competency disparities. Future university development should center on collaborative mechanisms to ensure standardized systems, support competency enhancement, and achieve deep integration between AI technology and educational fundamentals. With the continuous iteration of technologies like large language models and generative AI, universities will witness three major trends: First, transitioning from “single application scenarios” to “holistic ecosystem collaboration” for intelligent interconnection. Second, shifting from “technology-driven governance” to "humanities-driven governance," seeking equilibrium between technological rationality and educational values. Third, evolving from "standardized empowerment" to "personalized adaptation" to precisely meet the diverse needs of faculty and students. Higher education institutions must proactively adapt to these trends, actively explore new pathways and paradigms for strengthening AI, and inject sustained momentum into the high-quality development of higher education.

Acknowledgements

This research constitutes the findings of two university-level projects funded by Zhaoqing University in 2025: Application of Large Language Models in English Teacher Training within the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area (fw202509) Appli-cation of Social-Emotional Learning in Education for Struggling Learners: Develop-mental Characteristics and Intervention Strategies (bqw202505).

References

[1] LIU, B. Q., NIE, X. L., WANG, Y. F., et al. (2025). Generative AI empowering education: Technical framework, application fields and values—2024 intelligent education development research report. China Educational Technology, (3), 61–70.

[2] DU, L., XU, S., & XU, Z. B. (2025). Teacher-student-AI collaborative classroom: The carrier and practice of artificial intelligence empowering university mathematics education. China University Teaching, (4), 59–65, 81.

[3] WANG, D. Q., CHEN, Z. L., SHAO, W. H., et al. (2025). From "Negative Energy" to "Empowerment": Designing Chain of Thought Prompts Based on LLMs and Building AI Agents for Teaching and Research——A Case Study in Intelligent Classroom Teaching Analysis. China Educational Technology, (3), 111–117, 125.

[4] ZHANG, J. (2025). AI-Empowered Foreign Language Learning Literacy for University Students: Framework Construction and Scale Development, (1), 69–78, 122. https://doi.org/10.20139/j.issn.1001-5795.20250110

[5] LIU, J. M., & ZHU, X. B. (2025). The Humanistic Misconduct, Regulations and Countermeasures for AI Empowering Experimental Teaching in Universities. Research in Higher Education of Engineering, (2), 73–78.

[6] WANG, X. Q., & HU, F. G. (2022). Limits and Transcendence of AI Teacher-empowered Classroom Teaching. e-Education Research, 43(8), 29–35. https://doi.org/10.13811/j.cnki.eer.2022.08.004

[7] CAO, Y. M., & WU, J. F. (2024). Exploration and Research on the Selection and Configuration of Teaching Content in Mathematics Classroom with Generative AI ——Taking the Selection and Configuration of Mathematics Example Exercises for Senior Middle School as an Example. Journal of Mathematics Education, 33(5), 60–66.

[8] SU, X. H., MIAO, Q. G., & CHEN, W. Y. (2023). A personalized teaching model for improving programming ability based on AI empowerment and industry-education integration. China University Teaching, (6), 4–9.

[9] ZHANG, Y. J., & ZHOU, J. (2021). Platform of Immersion Interpreting Teaching in Virtual Reality with AI Assessment: An Exploration towards the Situated Interpreting Learning. Technology Enhanced Foreign Language Education, (1), 78–84, 13. https://doi.org/10.20139/j.issn.1001-5795.2021.01.011

[10] ZHONG, X. (2025). VR language labs revolutionize new paths for practical language teaching and learning. Journal of Education and Educational Research, 13(1), 90–99.

[11] ZHONG, X. (2024). Trends and prospects for the use of AI technology in language courses. Journal of Education and Educational Research, 8(2), 99–102.

[12] ZHENG, C. P., YU, M., & GUO, Z. Y. (2024). The application of artificial intelligence in language teaching over the past three decades: Retrospect and prospect. Foreign Language Education, 45(1), 59–68. https://doi.org/10.16362/j.cnki.cn61-1023/h.2024.01.009

[13] WANG, S. S., & WANG, J. J. (2024). A Study of Motivation-Driven Acceptance of AI Use in Foreign Language Learning: Based on the Technology Acceptance Model. Technology Enhanced Foreign Language Education, (6), 23–29, 108. https://doi.org/10.20139/j.issn.1001-5795.20240500

[14] ZHU, H. Y. (2023). Research status and challenges of virtual reality technology in language teaching. Modern English, (5), 67–70.

[15] LIN, Z. (2022). Case Study on Application of Information Technology in Language Teaching. Applications of IC, 39(1), 140–141. https://doi.org/10.19339/j.issn.1674-2583.2022.01.053

[16] JIANG, X. C., MO, H. Q., & TANG, C. C. (2024). Content and Thinking of AI Technology Empowering PE Classroom Teaching Evaluation. Teaching & Administration, (23), 42–44.

[17] WANG, H. Y. (2025). From “AI Technology Empowerment” to “Actor Network”: Research on the Digital Reading Interaction in the Era of Intelligent Communication. Journal of Henan University (Social Sciences), 65(2), 146–151, 156. https://doi.org/10.15991/j.cnki.411028.2025.02.012

[18] ZHONG, X. (2025). Challenges and strategies for improving digital literacy of language lab teachers. International Journal of Social Science and Education, 8(8), 205–211.

[19] WANG, Y. B., & ZHANG, H. Z. (2025). From Search Engines to AI Search: An Analysis of Information Acquisition Evolution Empowered by Large Language Models. Editorial Friend, (3), 74–80. https://doi.org/10.13786/j.cnki.cn14-1066/g2.2025.3.010

[20] CHEN, N., & QIN, M. Z. (2024). Research on the Construction Path of Innovative Model for “One-Stop ”Student Community Education Management in Universities Journal of Jilin Agricultural Science and Technology University, 33(6), 19–22.

[21] WANG, X. X. (2023). Research on the innovative path of college student party member education and management under the background of the "one-stop" student community management mode. University Logistics Research, (12), 63–66.

[22] LIN, D. (2024). Research on university education management mode reform and innovation path under the background of digital reform. High-Technology & Commercialization, 30(9), 128–130. https://doi.org/10.26927/j.cnki.hitech.2024.09.037

[23] LIU, Y. (2024). Research on the innovation and development path of college education management mode under the new situation. Shanxi Youth, (23), 54–56.

[24] LI, Z. Y. (2024). Discussion on the innovative path of college student education and management under the "Internet +" mode. Shanxi Youth, (21), 60–62.

[25] ZHONG, X. (2025). New paths for technology literacy empowerment to promote learning transfer in language laboratories. Education and Research, 7(4), 105–108.

[26] WANG, Z., LU, G. X., LU, M. J., et al. (2024). Striding forward to intelligent publishing in an all-round way: Development dilemmas, technical empowerment and value reconstruction of AR/VR children's books in the AI era. Science-Technology & Publication, (8), 51–59. https://doi.org/10.16510/j.cnki.kjycb.2024.08.010

[27] MA, Y. Q., & ZHAO, L. Z. (2023). Integration of TPACK framework and multimodal English teaching mode in the new media era. Overseas English, (13), 78–80.

[28] ZHONG, X. (2024). A new path to enhance students' comprehensive language literacy based on intelligent language laboratory. Scientific Journal of Technology, 6(11), 16–30.

[29] DONG, X. T. (2023). Theory and practice guide of college students' innovation and entrepreneurship. Culture Development Press.